

A Standards-Based Guide for



Social Studies Programs in Rhode Island Schools

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS

Lincoln Almond, Governor

Rhode Island Board of Regents for Elementary and Secondary Education

James A. DiPrete, Chairman

Jo Eva Gaines, Vice-Chairperson

Colleen A. Callahan, Secretary

Representative Paul W. Crowley

Sue P. Duff

Senator Hanna M. Gallo

Gary E. Grove

Patrick A. Guida, Esquire

Mario A. Mancieri

Vidal P. Perez

RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

Peter McWalters, Commissioner

The Board of Regents does not discriminate on the basis of age, sex, sexual orientation, race, religion, national origin, color or handicap in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

This guide has been coordinated by the Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, and written by representatives across the Rhode Island Community.

COVER Design: Dani Pontus, RISD, and Stephen Saunders, Warwick School Department.

CO-EDITORS:

Dennis Cheek, Director, Office of Research, High School Reform & Adult Education; and

Faith Fogle, Social Studies Coordinator

Rhode Island Department of Elementary & Secondary Education

WRITING TEAM MEMBERS

Special thanks and appreciation are given to members of the writing team:

SandyJean Hicks, University of Rhode Island

Joseph O'Neill, Mount Saint Charles Academy & RI Social Studies Association

Melissa Bingmann, RI Historical Society

Maureen Spaight, East Providence High School

Patricia Jarvis, Bernon Heights Elementary School

Carolyn Carnevale, Winsor Hill School

David Woolman, Rhode Island College

Participants in the development of the Social Studies Guide:

SandyJean Hicks, University of Rhode Island

Joseph O'Neill, Mount Saint Charles Academy & RI Social Studies Association

Cheryl Gray, Kickemuit Middle School

Renee Grant-Kane, Veazie Street Elementary School

Gerald E. Cousineau, Toll Gate High School

Alan S. Canestrari, North Smithfield High School

Lyn Malone, Barrington Middle School

Douglas Carr, South Kingstown High School

Diane Morel, Bay View Academy

Jean Boucher, Western Coventry Elementary

Helen Johnson, Wickford Elementary School

Anne Petry, Rhode Island College & RI Geography Education Alliance

Peter Moore, Rhode Island College & RI Council for Economic Education

James Betres, Rhode Island College & RI Social Studies Association

Dennis Cheek, RI Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Faith Fogle, RI Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

David Woolman, Rhode Island College

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to the Executive Board of the Rhode Island Social Studies Association (RISSA). Members included: Joseph J. O'Neill, President and RI History Day Co-Coordinator; Joan Foley, Vice President; Lynda Wagner, Vice President; Diane R. Morel, Secretary; Patricia Jarvis, Treasurer and RI Geography Bee Co-Coordinator; Steven Ruscito, RI Model Legislature Coordinator; Marie Parys, RI History Day Co-Coordinator; Roger Gagnon, RI Geography Bee Co-Coordinator; Thalia Wood, RISSA Newsletter Editor; and James Betres, Charlene Centracchio, and Maureen Spaight, Members-at-Large.

Thanks also to Anne Petry and Chester Smolski Coordinators, RI Geography Education Alliance; and to Lizann Gibson and Gloria Howard, SORICO (Southern RI Regional Collaborative); Kenneth DiPietro, Assistant Superintendent, Cumberland School Department; Harry Demers, Social Studies Department Chair, North Smithfield High School; Maria Flanagan, Social Studies Department Chair, Burrillville High School; Fran Sammons, Jamestown School; Christopher Walsh, Archie Cole Junior High School; Barry Marshall and Timothy Bickford, Moses Brown School; Kevin Bartels, Hope High School; David Riley, and Austin Becker, Sloop Providence Curriculum/Standards Project; Joyce Stevos, Social Studies/Arts Administrator, Providence School Department;

Special thanks to Diane DiSanto who was Director of the Office of Instruction, RI Department of Education, during an important phase of the development of this document.

Rhode Island's social studies educators owe a debt of gratitude to the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction and Wisconsin's social studies consultant Karen Prickette; Wisconsin's highly regarded state social studies standards document, *Planning Curriculum in Social Studies*, has served as an important resource for this social studies standards GUIDE.

David Woolman, Director of Rhode Island College's Curriculum Resource Center (CRC), has provided outstanding guidance and inspiration throughout every phase of the development of this document; Dr. Woolman's ongoing revision of Part III (Resource Guide) has been a particularly valuable contribution to this document.

Special thanks to:

Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Staff:

Cynthia Corbridge, Office of Assessment, for the many valuable suggestions she made in reviewing the Assessment Chapter;

Office of Assessment

Mary Ann Snider, Director
Ellen Hedlund
James Karon
Maria Lindia

Office of Instruction

Diane Schaefer, Director
Carol Beatrice
Zawadi Hawkins
Charlotte Diffendale
Janet Carroll
Priscilla (Pat) Kozaczka
Lisa Vieira
Gena Walker
Anna Diserio

Office of the Commissioner

Stephen A. Nardelli
Irene Monteiro

Office of Network & Information Systems

Colleen Strom
Marie Gariepy
Anne Marotte

Office of Special Needs Services

Thomas P. DiPaola, Director
Catherine Schulbaum
Ina Woolman
David Sienko

Office of School Improvement & Accountability

Kenneth E. Fish, Director
Susan Rotblat-Walker
Carol Belair
Sandy Olson
Ruth Furia

Office of Teacher Preparation, Certification & Development

Becky Wright

Office of Research, High School Reform & Adult Education

Nancy Warren
Lauren Counts

Rhode Island Skills Commission

Rocco Rainone
Colleen Callahan

FOREWORD

If one examines the official definition and goals of social studies, its central role within the elementary and secondary school curriculum, and the most significant national and state social studies documents from the past few decades to the present, one can readily identify a common thread, i.e., that social studies curriculum should promote civic responsibility and active civic participation.

Not only is the overarching significance of civic competence embedded within the 1992 National Council for the Social Studies (N.C.S.S.) definition of social studies as "the integrated study of the social sciences and humanities to promote civic competence" but it is also clearly articulated in the 1990 Recommendations of the National Commission on Social Studies in the Schools document *Charting the Course: Social Studies for the 21st Century*.

This social studies GUIDE links directly to other Rhode Island Board of Regents approved frameworks documents as well as to the state's *Common Core of Learning*. Specifically on the state level, Rhode Island's *Common Core of Learning* document of 1995 establishes the development of "effective and productive citizens" as the paramount goal of K-12 (kindergarten through Grade 12) education in our state.

The challenge social studies educators planning curriculum face is a daunting one: they must select from an ever-growing amount of information, create a context for organizing multiple sources of information, and structure lessons around which students construct knowledge using this information.

In presenting this *A Standards-Based Guide for Social Studies Programs in Rhode Island Schools*, I offer special thanks to Writing Team Members Joseph O'Neill, Maureen Spaight, David Woolman, Melissa Bingmann, SandyJean Hicks, Carolyn Carnevale, and Patricia Jarvis. Moreover, this GUIDE is offered as a reflection of the numerous Rhode Island educators who have contributed to it over its five-year development in hopes that those who use it are assisted in their efforts to plan and develop social studies curriculum and programs in Rhode Island schools.

Peter McWalters, Commissioner

Rhode Island Department of
Elementary and Secondary Education

December, 2001

INTRODUCTION

Since the initial draft version of this GUIDE was disseminated in February 1998, events such as the massacre of students and teachers at Columbine High School and the attacks of September 11, 2001 have underscored the importance of developing civic responsibility in our students to a greater degree than any of us could ever have imagined.

As educators, we must draw upon the combined skills and content of the entire range of the social sciences, namely, history, geography, civics and government, economics and the behavioral sciences (anthropology, sociology and psychology), to equip our students to understand how to organize, interpret and analyze an ever-growing body of knowledge, data and sources. Social studies instruction provides a perfect vehicle for teaching students the content, process and thinking skills to understand cultures and attitudes similar to and different from their own.

In keeping with Rhode Island's longstanding tradition of providing wide latitude to its local school districts in curricular matters, Rhode Island's educational leadership has opted to refer RI social studies educators to a range of social studies standards, including:

- all the national social studies content standards (N.C.S.S., history, geography, civics/government, economics and psychology);
- two (2) sets of social studies standards, Certificate of Initial Mastery (CIM) and Southern Rhode Island Regional Collaborative (SORICO), developed within Rhode Island; and
- the National Board of Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS) history/social studies standards.

The decision to recommend that teachers and school administrators use national social studies content standards rather than to support the development of Rhode Island's own state social studies standards within a (RI Board of) Regents-endorsed state curriculum frameworks was made in part to encourage local school districts to choose which standards its social studies curriculum would be based upon. The rationale underlying this decision as well as specific guidance as to how Rhode Island local school districts can develop their own standards-based curricula are set out in *Education Standards in Rhode Island: State of Development*, a document prepared by the RI Department of Education's Office of Instruction in the summer, 2001.

While acknowledging the value of each standards document insofar as it helps schools and districts accomplish particular goals, RIDE urges districts to compare standards documents in a particular content area and then decide which documents appear to be most complete and appropriate in assisting ALL students to achieve academically. That being done, then essential elements can be added or omitted in order to meet district needs and educational philosophy.

Three key features of standards-based school reform that are outlined in the *Education Standards in Rhode Island 2001* document and addressed in detail in the GUIDE which follows are:

- a process for developing standards-based curriculum;
- a description of a standards-based classroom; and
- the importance of the alignment of *curriculum, instruction, and assessment*.

Extensive additions and revisions have been made in this 2001 *A Standards-Based Guide for Social Studies Programs in Rhode Island Schools* which follows. One of the most significant changes is the inclusion of Part III, a completely revised social studies RESOURCE GUIDE. This revision was done in response to the universally positive feedback received from the field regarding the original Resource section of the 1998 draft version of this social studies GUIDE.

Other *highlights* of this 2001 GUIDE are detailed in a separate Executive Summary. These highlights include:

- greater emphasis on the critical link between social studies instruction and *literacy*;
- significantly expanded *Selected References* at the end of Chapters 1-6;
- major revision of the Assessment Chapter and related resources to emphasize the importance of the alignment between *curriculum, instruction and assessment; and*
- expanded chapter (Chapter 2) on social studies content standards.

In conclusion, I offer thanks to all the people across the entire Rhode Island and national social studies community who have contributed to this social studies GUIDE.

Faith Fogle, Social Studies Coordinator

Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements.....	i-iii
Foreword	v
Introduction	vii- viii

Part I: Chapters 1-6

1. The Social Studies	1-12
2. Content Standards in the Social Studies Arena	13-64
3. Assessment in the Social Studies	65-78
4. Evaluating the Social Studies Program	79-84
5. Teaching Social Studies in an Inclusive Way	85-88
6. Sample Criteria to Evaluate Social Studies Materials	89-100

Part II: Sample Units/Lessons

Introduction	101
A. A Geoportrait of Your Community	103-112
B. A Lighthouse Journey Teacher's Guide & Student Booklet	113-130
C. Meeting of the Minds: (Let's Talk History) A Journey into the Minds of Famous Women	131-150
Glossary	151-153

Part III: Resource Guide

Note: Page numbers for Part III Resource Guide restart at Page One so that Part III can be used as a separate document.

PART I



CHAPTERS 1-6

CHAPTER 1

SOCIAL STUDIES

INTRODUCTION:

Social studies is the integrated study of the social sciences and humanities to promote civic competence. Within the school program, social studies provides coordinated, systematic study drawing upon such disciplines as anthropology, archaeology, economics, geography, history, law, philosophy, political science, psychology, religion, and sociology, as well as appropriate content from the humanities, mathematics, and natural sciences. The primary purpose of social studies is to help young people develop the ability to make informed and reasoned decisions for the public good as citizens of a cultural diverse, democratic society in an interdependent world.

(N.C.S.S. definition, 1992)

Social Studies is central to the curriculum for elementary and secondary school students. The importance of social studies was well stated by Downey: “No other part of school curriculum is so well endowed to broaden students’ intellectual and social horizons, to expand the known universe in which they live.” (Time, Space, and Culture) The conceptual rigor and the precision of language inherent in each social science make the social sciences central to the knowledge base needed by educated citizens. Social studies provides essential tools of study, insight into values, and requires the use of logical reasoning in problem solving.

In selecting geography, history, economics and civics as important areas to measure student knowledge, the *Goals 2000* movement in the United States made a commitment to expose students to significant ideas, and to give students practice in formulating civic and societal concepts. Further, the social sciences demonstrate how to use ideas to cope with change. In *Social Education*, (Oct. 1987), a plea was made for “a more substantial and demanding (social studies) curriculum . . . more help for students to comprehend what is important” to learn.

Charting the Course: Social Studies for the 21st Century enumerates that the Social Studies curriculum should enable students to develop:

- (1) civic responsibility and active civic participation;
- (2) perspectives on their own life experiences so they see themselves as part of the larger human adventure in time and place;
- (3) a critical understanding of the history, geography, economic, political and social institutions, traditions, and values of the United States as expressed in both their unity and diversity;
- (4) an understanding of other peoples and the unity and diversity of world history, geography, institutions, traditions and values;

- (5) critical attitudes and analytical perspectives appropriate to analysis of the human condition.

This document, *A Standards-Based Guide for Social Studies in Rhode Island Schools*, is an invitation to the teachers of Rhode Island to use various standards and approaches in the social studies for planning significant, challenging, effective social studies programs.

SOCIAL STUDIES, RHODE ISLAND'S COMMON CORE of LEARNING AND STATE EDUCATION GOALS

Rhode Island has clearly identified, as the primary goal of K-12 education, the development of "effective and productive citizens." The State's Common Core of Learning document of 1995, as well as the officially adopted state curriculum frameworks in mathematics, science, English/ language arts and health all agree on the preeminence of that goal.

Similarly, the Rhode Island legislature has recognized for decades the importance of the social studies to the state's social, cultural, and political life.

Rhode Island Education Legislation and Social Studies:

Chapter 16 of the General Laws of Rhode Island (R.I.G.L.) specifies the following in R.I.G.L. 16-22-2, the section on "Courses in history and government" found under Chapter 22/CURRICULUM:

16-22-2. Courses in history and government. – The principles of popular and representative government as enunciated in the Constitution of Rhode Island and the Constitution of the United States study shall be taught in all the public schools of this state. The course of study shall be prescribed by the department of elementary and secondary education. Commencing with the fourth grade in elementary schools instruction shall be given in the history and government of Rhode Island, and in every high school thorough instruction shall be given in the Constitution and government of Rhode Island and in the Constitution and government of the United States. No private school or private instruction shall be approved for the purposes of chapter 19 of this title unless the course of study therein shall make provision for instruction substantially equivalent to that required by this chapter for public schools.

In 2000, a new section entitled "Genocide and human rights education" was added to Curriculum Chapter 22 as R.I.G.L. 16-22-22. This section addresses curricular material and guidelines for teaching about genocide and human rights issues by encouraging teachers to use instructional material including but not limited to:

- The transatlantic slave trades and Middle Passage;
- The great hunger period in Ireland;
- The Armenian genocide;
- The Holocaust;
- The Mussolini fascist regime; and
- Other recognized human rights violations.

It must be said that the social studies curriculum provides the most direct, the most responsible, the most salient forum for fostering “effective and productive citizens.” While other curriculum areas - mathematics, language arts, science, the arts -- contribute, the goal of effective and productive citizenship is the “sine qua non” of social studies. Life-long participation in civic life and social action is the goal of social studies instruction for each student. “Citizenship” embraces both a political aspect and a social aspect; social studies programs must address these twin dimensions.

In the political arena, “effective and productive citizens” are those who know history, economics, geography, civics, and the social sciences, and use their understanding of those discipline to make reasoned and informed political decisions. An effective social studies program provides knowledge of the concepts and methodologies of these disciplines, and practice for students in making decisions and examining the reasons for, and the consequences of, political choices made by themselves and others.

The “social” dimension of social studies is concerned with a citizen being an active and contributing member of the local community and society at large. Concern for local needs, the requirements of others less fortunate, the care of the environment, all demand a spirit of caring, sharing, helping. For many laymen, this social action aspect is the heart, the “raison d’etre” of the social studies curriculum. It is only half the issue, however; the political dimension is vital to the survival of a democratic society.

The many standards now available to social studies teachers provide a rich tapestry of examples of these two essential elements-the political and the social. There is considerable overlap among the standards included on various lists; that should not be dismaying, but reassuring. In teaching with the standards, one may select those statements which best fit the goals of the particular unit or lesson. Whether one draws on economics, history, social studies, civics, or geography standards -- the important thing is to strive for the excellence-the comprehensive depth--stated in the standard. The important thing is to broaden students’ “intellectual and social horizons,” to expand their known universe, to challenge students to the full extent of their potential to become “effective and productive citizens.”

SOCIAL STUDIES PROGRAM ELEMENTS

Good social studies programs are established on clearly stated goals. Goals are stated in terms of content, (the concepts and generalizations of powerful ideas alluded to above) -- and in terms of process. The standards express this two-fold approach in the questions: "What should students know? What should students be able to do?" Each of the standards documents is clear in expressing the facts, concepts, and principles students should know. There is considerable overlap among the standards documents, providing evidence of general agreement of "what is important to learn."

Lesson plans and units provided in the standards documents, as well as the sample units provided in Section II, illustrate the participatory nature of true social studies learning. The processes of investigation, data gathering, organization of information, application to problems, and communications of results are clearly illustrated over and over again. The units provided suggest appropriate skills to be learned by students. The units make application in real-world scenarios, and suggest ways in which students can receive frequent feedback from teachers.

Social studies provides a world view. Social reality is the heart of social studies; pluralism is the nature of civic life. Students need help to construct a frame of reference which includes perspectives different from their own. They need to develop appreciation for cultural differences. Humans are more alike than different, but humans conduct their lives in a tremendous variety of cultural contexts.

Within the program's unit of study, students must participate in activities which target both knowledge and skills. These activities have serious "rehearsal" value: they help students understand what is expected of a citizen, and provide a safe context in which to practice the roles students will play in the future. Variety in this work prevents unfairly favoring a particular learning style or interest.

The goal of inspiring students to life-long participation in civic life and life-long involvement in local social action drives excellent social studies teaching. Living together in peace cannot be achieved without informed tolerance of cultural differences, and without personal application to civic responsibility and social action.

SCOPE AND SEQUENCE

Social studies instruction has to be organized in a manner that allows for teacher creativity and individuality, while ensuring that all students have access to challenging standards and develop core knowledge and skills.

The most common scope and sequence for social studies content remains the expanding environment model. This has been the most common scope and sequence since the 1940's. In grades K-3, the expanding environment model focuses on topics familiar to children (e.g., themselves, family, community). In grades 4-6, students focus on the regions and history of the United States and countries in the world (e.g., Northeast Region, the Revolution, Ancient Egypt). Middle school and high school students typically have specific courses on United States and world history and geography.

The National Commission on the Social Studies in the Schools' Curriculum Report, *Charting a Course: Social Studies for the 21st Century*, suggests modifications to the expanding environment model as follows:

TIME AND PLACE

Kindergarten	comparative study of families at home and around the globe.
Grade 1	community and the structures and workings of social and political groups.
Grade 2 & 3	broad study of societies in the United States and around the globe.

HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

Grade 4 - 6	United States history, world history, and geography (physical and cultural).
Grade 7	local community.
Grade 8	United States history-political and economic development.

UNITED STATES AND WORLD HISTORY

Grade 9	United States and World history and geography to 1750- focus on a comparative look at major civilizations beginning with hunting and gathering societies, consequences of cultural contact between the Old and New Worlds after Columbus, colonial history and geography.
Grade 10	United States and World history and geography from 1750 to 1900- focus on transformation of modern times by democratic, industrial and technological revolutions and the effects of population growth and movement.

Grade 11	United States and World history-focus on 20 th Century democracy, industrial-technological revolution, demographic shifts resulting from improved health care, transportation, and changed family relationships.
Grade 12	Government and Economics-focus on founding documents of the United States and a comparative look at the world's competing political and economic systems. Electives at this level include psychology, sociology, anthropology, a multi-discipline study of contemporary issues, and supervised experiences in community service.

TEACHING AND LEARNING STRATEGIES IN THE SOCIAL STUDIES

Effective social studies programs provide multiple, active and authentic learning experiences. The use of reading, writing, drama, role playing, educational games, service learning projects, multimedia and the Internet help to engage students in learning. The use of literature at all levels of instruction, grades K-12, makes historical periods come alive.

Primary sources such as letters, diaries, government documents, as well as the use of historical fiction can provide students with multiple perspectives of the event being studied, providing them with a richer understanding of the events. Student writing is also an effective way for students to explore a topic in depth. Considering the increasing use of portfolio assessment in writing and in other curriculum areas, writing on a social studies topic, well researched and reflected upon, can be a powerful learning experience and assessment technique.

Regardless of whether teachers are using primary source materials, textbooks, student magazines, newspapers or the Web, it is expository reading that is used in all these materials. Since expository reading is the type of reading most often used in social studies instruction, social studies teachers therefore share responsibility for teaching expository reading skills to their students.

The Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction's document Planning Curriculum in Social Studies (2001) offers some excellent *reading for meaning strategies* in its chapter on "Teaching and Learning Strategies" (pp. 222-230), including:

- Structured Note Taking
- Social Studies Skill Builders
- Concept Definition Mapping
- Use of Primary Sources

This same chapter provides detailed information on additional *project work strategies* in social studies instruction (pp. 242-252), specifically on use of:

- WebQuests
- Culminating (End-of-the-Unit) Projects
- Cooperative Group Investigation (based on Spencer Kagan's Co-op Co-op.)
- Service Learning Activities

Selected References

Berman, S. & LaFarge, P. (1993). *Promising practices in teaching social responsibility*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Berman, S. (1997). *Childrens' social consciousness and the development of social responsibility*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Bragaw, D. H. & Hartoonian, H. M. (1995). *Social studies: the study of people in society*. In A.A. Glatthorn. (Ed.) *Content of the Curriculum*. 2nd Ed. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Brophy, J., Van Sledright, B. (1997). *Teaching and learning history in elementary schools*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Curriculum standards for social studies: expectations of excellence (1994). Washington, DC: National Council for the Social Studies.

Curriculum Task Force of the National Commission on Social Studies in the Schools (1989). *Charting a course: social studies for the 21st century*. Westlake, OH: National Council for History Education, Inc.

Deitch, JoAnne Weisman. (1998). *An educator's guide to the PERSPECTIVES/ON HISTORY SERIES-PART III: Using primary source documents*. Carlisle: Massachusetts: Discovery Enterprises, Ltd.

Developing a common core of learning: a report on what we heard (fall 1995, Revised). Providence, RI: Published by the Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education.

Downey, Matthew T. (Nov-Dec 1986). Time, space and culture, *Social Education*, 50,7,490-501.

ERIC Clearinghouse for Social Studies/Social Science Education
(www.indiana.edu/~ssdc/eric-chess.html)

Forte, I. & Schurr, S. (1996). *Integrating instruction in social studies: strategies, activities, projects, tools, and techniques*, Nashville, TN: Incentive Publications.

Hart, C.H., Burts, D.C. & Charlesworth, R. (1997). *Social studies in the developmentally appropriate integrated curriculum*. In C. Seefeldt (Ed.) *Integrated curriculum and developmentally appropriate practice birth to age eight*. New York: State University of New York Press.

Kinsley, C.W. & McPherson, K. (1995). *Enriching the curriculum through service learning*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Marker, G. & Mehlinger, H. (1992). Social studies. In P.W. Jackson. (Ed.) *Handbook of research on curriculum: a project of the American Educational Research Association*. NY: MacMillan.

Mullins, S.L. (1990). *Social studies for the 21st century: Recommendations of the National Commission on Social Studies in the Schools*. ERIC . Digest.
Bloomington, IN: ERIC Clearinghouse for Social Studies/Social Science Education. ED 329484

National Council for the Social Studies (1994). *Curriculum standards for social studies: expectations of excellence*. Washington, DC: NCSS Publications.

Nowicki, J.J., Meehan, K.F. (1996) *Interdisciplinary strategies for English and social studies classrooms: toward collaborative, middle and secondary teaching*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Patrick, J.J. (1990). *Social studies curriculum reform reports*. *ERIC Digest*.
Bloomington, IN: ERIC Clearinghouse for Social Studies/Social Science Education. ED 322021

Prickette, Karen, R. (2001). *Planning curriculum in social studies*. Madison, Wisconsin: Wisconsin Department Public Instruction. 800-243-8782.
www.dpi.state.wi.us/pubsales

Rhode Island Education Laws and Rules Annotated 2000-2001 Edition (2001). Providence, RI: Lexis Publishing.

Risinger, C.F. (1992). *Trends in K-12 social studies*. *ERIC Digest*. Bloomington, IN: ERIC Clearinghouse for Social Studies/Social Science Education. ED 351278

Ross, W.E. Ed. (1997). *The social studies curriculum: purposes, problems, and possibilities*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1997.

Shaver, J.P. (1991). *Handbook of research on social studies teaching and learning: A project of the National Council of the Social Studies*. NY: MacMillan, 1991.

Social studies: gender equity classroom activities. (1996). Montclair, NJ: The Equity Leadership Institute.

Stearns, P.N., Seixas, P., Wineburg, S., Eds. (2000). *Knowing, teaching, and learning history: national and international perspective*. New York: New York University Press.

Thornton, S. (1992). Trends and issues in social studies curriculum. In Cheek, D. & Kohut, S. (Eds.). *Social Studies Curriculum Resource Handbook*. Milwood, NY: Kraus International Publications.

Thorson, B. & Chaney, H. (1998). Social studies. In B. Thorson (Ed.). *Integrating technology into the curriculum: challenging*. Huntington Beach, CA: Teacher Created Materials.

Totten, S. & Pederson, J.E. (1997). *Social issues and service at the middle level*. Boston: Allyn Bacon.

Totten, S. & Feinberg, S. (2001). *Teaching and studying the holocaust*. Boston: Allyn Bacon.

Van Tassel-Baska J., Benbow, C.P. & Feldhusen, J.F. (1998). Social studies and language arts for talented learners. In J. Van Tassel-Baska (Ed.) *Excellence in educating gifted & talented learners*. 3rd Ed. Denver, CO: Love Publishing Company

Wilson, V.W., Little, J.A. & Wilson, G.L. (1993). *Teaching social studies: handbook of trends, issues, and implications for the future*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.

Selected References

Social Studies: Link to Literacy and Content Area Learning

Cordeiro, P. (1995). *Endless possibilities: generating curriculum in social studies and literacy*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Cudd, E.T. & Roberts, Leslie. (1989). Using writing to enhance content area learning in the primary grades. *The Reading Teacher*, 392-404

Deitch, JoAnne Weisman. (1998). *An Educator's guide to the PERSPECTIVES ON HISTORY SERIES- PART III: Using primary source documents*. Carlisle, Massachusetts: Discovery Enterprises, Ltd.

Early connections: an integrated nonfiction balanced literacy program. (1999). Pelham, NY: Benchmark Education Company.

Fletcher, Ralph and Joann Portalupi (1998). *Craft lessons: teaching writing K-8*. York, Me: Stenhouse.

Freeman, E.B. & Person, D.G. (1992). *Using nonfiction trade books in the elementary classroom: from ants to zeppelins*. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.

Fountes, Irene and Gay Su Pinnell (2001). *Guiding readers and writers. Grades 3-6. Teaching comprehension, genre, and content literacy*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Harvey, Stephanie (1998). *Non-fiction matters: reading, writing, and research in grades 3-8*. York, Me: Stenhouse.

Hilke, E.V. (1999). *Children's literature and the K-4 social studies standards*. Bloomington, IN: Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.

Irvin, J.L. (1995). *Enhancing social studies through literacy strategies*. Washington, DC: National Council for the Social Studies, (Bulletin 91).

Irvin, J.L. (1998). *Reading and the middle school student: Strategies to enhance literacy*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Krey, D.M. (1998). *Children's literature in social studies: teaching to the standards*. Washington, DC: National Council for the Social Studies (Bulletin 95).

Lindquist, T. & Douglas Selwyn, D. (2000). *Social studies at the center: integrating kids, content, and literacy*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Markham, L. & Cooper, J.R. (1993). *Number the stars by Lois Lowry: a literature/social studies program, grades 4-6*. Littleton, MA: Sundance.

Moje, E.B. & O'Brien, D.G. (2001). *Construction of literacy: studies of teaching and learning in and out of secondary schools*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum Associates.

Otero, G.G. & Moeller, C. (1987). *Teaching reading in the social studies: a global approach for secondary students*. Rev. Ed. Denver, CO: Center for Teaching International Relations, University of Denver.

Preschool planning guide building a foundation for development of language and literacy in the early years. (1998). Albany, NY: University of the State of New York, State Education Department.

Seefeldt, C. (1995). A complete whole: social studies and the language arts. In S.C. Raines *Whole language across the curriculum: grades 1, 2, 3*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association: NY.

Silverstone, Michael Alan (1999). *Women changing the world: using biography to support global education in the English and social studies classroom*. New York, NY: The Feminist Press at The City University of New York.

CHAPTER 2

CONTENT STANDARDS IN THE SOCIAL STUDIES ARENA

Much attention has been given across the nation to the issue of content standards for education. Content standards specify what students should know and be able to do. At the national level, the first effort to create voluntary national subject matter standards was launched by the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) and the Mathematical Sciences Education Board. The first document to result from this effort was released in 1989 and titled Curriculum and Evaluation Standards for School Mathematics.

Subsequent to the NCTM mathematics content standards, a variety of professional organizations and coalitions sought federal or other sources of funds to produce and promote subject matter standards. A variety of such initiatives have centered around the social studies. Each of the respective social studies discipline documents is briefly described here along with contact information. Readers are encouraged to obtain the various documents and use them in planning for local curriculum and social studies programming.

At the heart of curriculum frameworks are content standards. Curriculum frameworks serve as a guide for planning, supporting, implementing and evaluating programs whereas content standards represent a common destination for all students in the respective subject areas. The frameworks also connect these standards to other critical pieces of the teaching-learning process, such as assessments, which measure student progress and performance in relation to content standards; professional development; resources and materials; and other system support. The frameworks provide only a guide; districts and schools retain the freedom to vary the curriculum, instruction and assessment while aiming for the common goals outlined in the frameworks.

We begin in Rhode Island with high expectations for all students. Helping all students reach high standards demands appropriate support and accommodation. In the past, certain groups of students have had limited opportunities to reach high standards. Demands of the workplace and the world now require that all students have more and higher skills to succeed; expecting a minimum is not sufficient. Districts are encouraged to provide teachers with the support needed to implement curricula and to adopt instructional approaches and assessment strategies to meet the needs of diverse learners.

The following standards* are outlined in this chapter:

1. National Standards for Psychology/American Psychological Association - 1995
2. Expectations of Excellence/Curriculum Standards for Social Studies (N.C.S.S. Standards) - 1994
3. National Standards for Civics and Government – 1994
4. Voluntary National Content Standards in Economics (EconomicsAmerica) - 1997
5. Geography for Life/National Geography Standards - 1994
6. National Standards for History - 1996
7. National Board for PROFESSIONAL TEACHING STANDARDS (NBPTS) - 2001
8. Social Studies Standards/Certificate of Initial Mastery (CIM) - 1999
9. Applied Learning Standards (from the NEW STANDARDS/PERFORMANCE STANDARDS DOCUMENT) - 1997
10. SORICO K-12 Social Studies Standards - 1998 (DRAFT)

*NOTE: All of these standards are national *except* for the Rhode Island Certificate of Mastery (CIM) Social Studies Standards, developed by the Rhode Island Skills Commission, and the SORICO K-12 Social Studies Standards developed by the Southern Rhode Island Regional Collaborative.

NATIONAL STANDARDS FOR PSYCHOLOGY AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

1995

Publication Title: National Standards for the Teaching of High School Psychology

Contact: American Psychological Association
750 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20002-4242
(202) 336-5500
www.apa.org/ed/hscontents.html

Development: The American Psychological Association (APA) commissioned the Task Force for the Development of National High School Psychology Standards in 1995 to develop standards that identify what students in an introductory high school psychology course should learn. Members of the task force were chosen by the APA Education and Science directorates to represent experienced psychology educators at the secondary and university levels as well as other scientists in the profession.

Purpose: These standards provide guidelines for the organization of content and learning expectations in teaching an introduction to psychology at the high school level.

Standards for High School Students:

Standard Area: Introduction and Research Methods

After concluding this unit, students understand:

- Contemporary perspectives used by psychologists to understand behavior and mental processes in context
- Major subfields and career opportunities that comprise psychology
- Research strategies used by psychologists to explore behavior and mental processes
- Purpose and basic concepts of statistics
- Ethical issues in research with human and other animals that are important to psychologists
- Development of psychology as an empirical science

Standard Area: Biological Bases of Behavior

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Structure and function of the neuron

Contemporary perspectives used by psychologists to understand behavior and mental processes in context

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Organization of the nervous system

Content Standard 2: Major subfields and career opportunities that comprise psychology

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Hierarchical organization of the structure and function of the brain

Content Standard 3: Research strategies used by psychologists to explore behavior and mental processes.

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Technologies and clinical methods for studying the brain

Content Standard 4: Purposes and basic concepts of statistics.

CONTENT STANDARD 5: Specialized functions of the brain's hemispheres

Content Standard 5: Ethical issues in research with human and other animals that are important to psychologists.

CONTENT STANDARD 6: Structure and function of the endocrine system

Content Standard 6: Development of psychology as an empirical science

CONTENT STANDARD 7: How heredity interacts with environment to influence behavior

CONTENT STANDARD 8: How psychological mechanisms are influenced by evolution

Standard Area: Sensation and Perception

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Basic concepts explaining the capabilities and limitations of sensory processes

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Interaction of the person and the environment in determining perception

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Nature of attention

Standard Area: Motivation and Emotion

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Motivational concepts

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Biological and environmental cues instigating basic drives or motives

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Major theories of motivation

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Interaction of biological and cultural factors in the development of motives

CONTENT STANDARD 5: Role of values and expectancies in determining choice and strength of motivation

CONTENT STANDARD 6: Physiological, affective, cognitive, and behavioral aspects of emotions and the interactions among these aspects

CONTENT STANDARD 7: Effects of motivation and emotion on perception, cognition, and behavior

Standard Area: Stress, Coping, and Health

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Sources of stress

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Physiological reactions to stress

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Psychological reactions to stress

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Cognitive and behavioral strategies for dealing with stress and promoting health

Standard Area: Lifespan Development

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Development as a lifelong process

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Research techniques used to gather data on the developmental process

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Stage theories of development

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Issues surrounding the developmental process (nature/nurture, continuity/discontinuity, stability/instability, critical periods)

CONTENT STANDARD 5: Impact of technology on aspects of the lifespan

Standard Area: Learning

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Characteristics of learning

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Principles of classical conditioning

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Principles of operant conditioning

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Components of cognitive learning

CONTENT STANDARD 5: Roles of biology and culture in determining learning

Standard Area: Memory

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Encoding, or getting information into memory

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Short-term and long-term memory systems

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Retrieval, or getting information out of memory

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Biological bases of memory

CONTENT STANDARD 5: Methods for improving memory

Standard Area: Thinking and Language

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Basic elements comprising thought

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Strategies and obstacles involved in problem solving and decision making

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Structural features of language

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Theories and developmental stages of language acquisition

CONTENT STANDARD 5: Links between thinking and language

Standard Area: States of Consciousness

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Characteristics of sleep and theories that explain why we sleep

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Theories used to explain and interpret dreams

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Basic phenomena and uses of hypnosis

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Categories of psychoactive drugs and their effects

Standard Area: Individual Differences

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Concepts related to measurement of individual differences

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Influence and interaction of heredity and environment on individual differences

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Nature of intelligence

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Nature of intelligence testing

Standard Area: Personality and Assessment

CONTENT STANDARD 1: What is meant by personality and personality constructs

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Personality approaches and theories

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Assessment tools used in personality

Standard Area: Psychological Disorders

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Characteristics and origins of abnormal behavior

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Methods used in exploring abnormal behavior

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Major categories of abnormal behavior

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Impact of mental disorders

Standard Area: Treatment of Psychological Disorders

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Prominent methods used to treat people with disorders

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Types of practitioners who implement treatment

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Legal and ethical challenges involved in delivery of treatment

Standard Area: Social and Cultural Dimensions of Behavior

CONTENT STANDARD 1: Social judgment and attitudes

CONTENT STANDARD 2: Social and cultural categories

CONTENT STANDARD 3: Group processes

CONTENT STANDARD 4: Social influence

EXPECTATIONS OF EXCELLENCE: CURRICULUM STANDARDS FOR SOCIAL STUDIES

1994

National Council for the Social Studies

Publication Title: Expectations Of Excellence: Curriculum Standards For Social Studies (N.C.S.S.)

Contact: National Council for the Social Studies
3501 Newark Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20016
<http://www.ncss.org/standards/stitle.html>

Development: A National Council for the Social Studies task force of teachers from elementary, middle, and high school levels; university and college teacher educators; and state and school district social studies supervisors. The Task Force Chair is Donald Schneider. Other members are Susan A. Adler, R. Beery, Gloria Ladson-Billings, William R. Fernekes, Michael Hartoonian, Mary A. McFarland, Gerald Marker, Marjorie A. Montgomery, Pat Nickell, and Corrinne Tevis.

Purpose: "The national curriculum standards in the social studies are designed to answer...what students should be taught, how they will be taught, and how students achievement will be evaluated. These standards...define what students should be learning in social studies programs in the early grades, middle grades, and high school. Teachers and curriculum designers are encouraged first to establish their program frameworks using the social studies standards as a guide, and then to use the standards from history, geography, civics, economics, and others to guide the development of grade level strands and courses."

Note: For elaboration of learning expectations at early, middle and high school levels, see Expectations of Excellence.

Standards

Culture: Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of culture and cultural diversity.

Time, Continuity, & Change

Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of the ways human beings view themselves in and over time.

People, Places & Environments

Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of people, places, and environments.

Individual Development & Identity

Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of individual development and identity.

Individuals, Groups, & Institutions

Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of interactions among individuals, groups, and institutions.

Power, Authority & Governance

Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of how people create and change structures of power, authority and governance.

Production, Distribution, & Consumption

Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of how people organize for the production, distribution, and consumption of goods and services.

Science, Technology & Society

Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of relationships among science, technology, and society.

Global Connections

Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of global connections and interdependence.

Civic Ideals & Practices

Social studies programs should include experiences that provide for the study of the ideals, principles, and practices of citizenship in a democratic republic.

NATIONAL STANDARDS FOR CIVICS AND GOVERNMENT

1994

Center for Civic Education

Publication Title: National Standards for Civics and Government

Contact: Center for Civic Education
5146 Douglas Fir Road
Calabasas, California 91302-1467
<http://www.civiced.org>

Development: Center for Civic Education with financial support from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) of the U.S. Department of Education and The Pew Charitable Trusts. The Project Executive Director is Charles N. Quigley.

Purpose: “These (voluntary national standards) are intended to help schools develop competent and responsible citizens who possess a reasoned commitment to the fundamental values and principles that are essential to the preservation and improvement of American constitutional democracy.”

Content Standards:

K-4 Content Standards: At the K-4 level, the content standards are arranged by five topics. Each standard has a Content Summary and Rationale, a statement of the Content Standard, and multiple indicators/benchmarks of what students should be able to do with this knowledge.

Topic 1: What is government and what should it do?

Standards:

Defining government. *Students should be able to provide a basic description of government.*

Defining power and authority. Students should be able to explain the difference between authority and power without authority, and that authority comes from custom, law, and the consent of the governed.

Necessity and purpose of government. Students should be able to explain why government is necessary in their classroom, school, community, state, and nation, and the basic purposes of government in the United States.

Functions of government. Students should be able to explain some of the major things governments do in their school, community, state, and nation.

Purposes of rules and laws. Students should be able to explain the purposes of rules and laws and why they are important in their classroom, school, community, state, and nation.

Evaluating rules and laws. Students should be able to explain and apply criteria useful in evaluating rules and laws.

Limited and unlimited governments. Students should be able to explain the basic differences between limited and unlimited governments.

Importance of limited government. Students should be able to explain why limiting the powers of government is important to their own lives.

Topic 2: What are the basic values and principles of American democracy?

Standards:

Fundamental values and principles. Students should be able to explain the importance of the fundamental values and principles of American democracy.

Distinctive characteristics of American society. Students should be able to identify some important beliefs commonly held by Americans about themselves and their government.

American identity. Students should be able to explain the importance of Americans sharing and supporting certain values, principles, and beliefs.

Diversity in American society. Students should be able to describe diversity in the United States and identify its benefits.

Prevention and management of conflicts. Students should be able to identify and evaluate ways conflicts about diversity can be prevented and managed.

Promoting ideals. Students should be able to identify ways people can work together to promote the values and principles of American democracy.

Topic 3: How does the government established by the constitution embody the purposes values and principles of American democracy?

Standards:

The meaning and importance of the United States Constitution. Students should be able to describe what the United States Constitution is and why it is important.

Organization and major responsibilities of the national government. Students should be able to give examples of ways the national government protects individual rights and promotes the common good.

Organization and major responsibilities of state governments. Students should be able to explain the most important responsibilities of their state government.

Organization and major responsibilities of local governments. Students should be able to explain the most important responsibilities of their local government.

Identifying members of government. Students should be able to identify the members of the legislative branches and the heads of the executive branches of their local, state, and national governments.

Topic 4: What is the relationship of the United States to other nations and to world affairs?

Standards:

Nations. Students should be able to explain that the world is divided into different nations, which interact with one another.

Interaction among nations. Students should be able to explain the major ways nations interact with one another.

Topic 5: What are the roles of the citizen in American democracy:

Standards:

The meaning of citizenship. Students should be able to explain the meaning of citizenship in the United States.

Becoming a citizen. Students should be able to explain how one becomes a citizen of the United States.

Rights of individuals. Students should be able to explain why certain rights are important to the individual and to a democratic society.

Responsibilities of individuals. Students should be able to explain why certain responsibilities are important to themselves and their family, community, state, and nation.

Dispositions that enhance citizen effectiveness and promote the healthy functioning of American democracy. Students should be able to explain the importance of certain dispositions to themselves and American democracy.

Forms of participation. Students should be able to describe the means by which citizens can influence the decisions and actions of their government.

Political leadership and public service. Students should be able to explain the importance of political leadership and public service in their school, community, state, and nation.

Selecting leaders. Students should be able to explain and apply criteria useful in selecting leaders in their school, community, state, and nation.

5-8 Content Standards: These content standards are arranged into five topics. Each standard has a Content Summary and Rationale, a statement of the Content Standard, and multiple indicators/benchmarks of what students should be able to do with this knowledge.

Topic 1: What are Civic Life, Politics, and Government?

Standards:

Defining civic life, politics, and government. Students should be able to explain the meaning of the terms civic life, politics, and government.

Necessity and purpose of government. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on why government is necessary and the purposes government should serve.

Limited and unlimited governments. Students should be able to describe the essential characteristics of limited and unlimited government.

The rule of law. Students should be able to explain the importance of the rule of law for the protection of individual rights and the common good.

Concepts of “constitution.” Students should be able to explain alternative uses of the term “constitution” and to distinguish between governments with a constitution and a constitutional government.

Purposes and uses of constitutions. Students should be able to explain the various purposes constitutions serve.

Conditions under which constitutional government flourishes. Students will be able to explain those conditions that are essential for the flourishing of constitutional government.

Shared powers and parliamentary systems. Students should be able to describe the major characteristics of systems of shared powers and of parliamentary systems.

Confederal, federal, and unitary systems. Students should be able to explain the advantages and disadvantages of confederal, federal, and unitary

systems of government.

Topic 2: What are the Foundations of the American Political System?

Standards:

The American idea of constitutional government. Students should be able to explain the essential ideas of American constitutional government.

Distinctive characteristics of American society. Students should be able to identify and explain the importance of historical experience and geographic, social, and economic factors that have helped to shape American society.

The role of voluntarism in American life. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the importance of voluntarism in American society.

Diversity in American society. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the value and challenges of diversity in American life.
American identity. Students should be able to explain the importance of shared political values and principles to American society.

The character of American political conflict. Students should be able to describe the character of American political conflict and explain factors that usually prevent violence or that lower its intensity.

Fundamental values and principles. Students should be able to explain the meaning and importance of the fundamental values and principles of American democracy.

Conflicts among values and principles in American political and social life. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues in which fundamental values and principles are in conflict.

Disparities between ideals and reality in American political and social life. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues concerning ways and means to reduce disparities between American ideals and realities.

Topic 3: How Does the Government Established by the Constitution Embody the Purposes, Values, and Principles of American Democracy?

Standards:

Distributing, sharing, and limiting powers of the national government. Students should be able to explain how the powers of the national government are distributed, shared, and limited.

Sharing of powers between the national and state governments. Students should

be able to explain how and why powers are distributed and shared between national and state governments in the federal system.

Major responsibilities for domestic and foreign policy. Students should be able to explain the major responsibilities of the national government and foreign policy.

Financing the government through taxation. Students should be able to explain the necessity of taxes and the purposes for which taxes are used.

State governments. Students should be able to explain why states have constitutions, their purposes, and the relationship of state constitutions to federal constitutions.

Organization and responsibilities of state and local governments. Students should be able to describe the organization and major responsibilities of state and local governments.

Who represents you in legislative and executive branches of your local, state, and national governments? Students should be able to identify their representatives in the legislative branches as well as the heads of the executive branches of their local, state, and national governments.

The place of law in American society. Students should be able to explain the importance of law in the American constitutional system.

Criteria for evaluating rules and laws. Students should be able to explain and apply criteria useful in evaluating rules and laws.

Judicial protection of the rights of individuals. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on current issues regarding judicial protection of individual rights.

The public agenda. Students should be able to explain what is meant by the public agenda.

Political communication. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the influence of the media on American political life.

Political parties, campaigns, and elections. Students should be able to explain how political parties, campaigns, and elections provide opportunities for citizens to participate in the political process.

Associations and groups. Students should be able to explain how interest groups, unions, and professional organizations provide opportunities for citizens to participate in the political process.

Forming and carrying out public policy. Students should be able to explain how public policy is formed and carried out at local, state, and national levels and what roles individuals can play in the process.

Topic 4: What is the Relationship of the United States to Other Nations and to World Affairs?

Standards:

Nation-states. Students should be able to explain how the world is organized politically.

Interaction among nation-states. Students should be able to explain how nation-states interact with each other.

United States' relations with other nation-states. Students should be able to explain how the United States foreign policy is made and the means by which it is carried out.

International organizations. Students should be able to explain the role of major international organizations in the world today.

Impact of the American concept of democracy and individual rights on the world. Students should be able to describe the influence of American political idea on other nations.

Political, demographic, and environmental developments. Students should be able to explain the effects of significant political, demographic, and environmental trends in the world.

Topic 5: What are the Roles of the Citizen in American Democracy?

Standards:

The meaning of citizenship. Students should be able to explain the meaning of American citizenship.

Becoming a citizen. Students should be able to explain how one becomes a citizen of the United States.

Personal rights. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the issue involving personal rights.

Political rights. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues involving political rights.

Economic rights. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues involving economic rights.

Scope and limits of rights. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding the proper scope and limits of rights.

Personal responsibilities. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the importance of personal responsibilities to the individual and to society.

Civic responsibilities. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend Positions on the importance of civic responsibilities to the individual and society.

Dispositions that enhance citizen effectiveness and promote the healthy functioning of American constitutional democracy. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the importance of certain dispositions or traits of character to themselves and American constitutional democracy.

Participation in civic and political life and the attainment of individual and public goals. Students should be able to explain the relationship between participating in civic and political life and the attainment of individual and public goals.

The difference between political and social participation. Students should be able to explain the difference between political and social participation.

Forms of political participation. Students should be able to describe the means by which Americans can monitor and influence politics and government.

Political leadership and public service. Students should be able to explain the importance of political leadership and public service in a constitutional democracy.

Knowledge and participation. Students should be able to explain the importance of knowledge to competent and responsible participation in American democracy.

9-12 Content Standards: These content standards are arranged into five topics. Each standard has a Content Summary and Rationale, a statement of the Content Standard, and multiple indicators/benchmarks of what students should be able to do with this knowledge.

Topic 1: What are Civic Life, Politics, and Government?

Standards:

Defining civic life, politics, and government. Students should be able to explain the meaning of the terms civic life, politics, and government. Necessity and purpose of government. Students should be able to explain the major arguments advanced for the necessity of politics and government.

Limited and unlimited governments. Students should be able to explain the

essential characteristics of limited and unlimited government.

The rule of law. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the importance of the rule of law and on the sources, purposes, and functions of law.

Civil society and government. Students should be able to explain and evaluate the argument that civil society is a prerequisite of limited government.

The relationship of limited government to political and economic freedom. Students should be able to explain and evaluate competing ideas regarding the relationship between political and economic freedoms.

Concepts of “constitution.” Students should be able to explain different uses of the term “constitution” and to distinguish between governments with a constitution and a constitutional government.

Purposes and uses of constitutions. Students should be able to explain the various purposes served by constitutions.

Conditions under which constitutional government flourishes. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on what conditions contribute to the establishment and maintenance of constitutional government.

Shared powers and parliamentary systems. Students should be able to describe the major characteristics of systems of shared powers and of parliamentary systems.

Confederal, federal, and unitary systems. Students should be able to explain the advantages and disadvantages of confederal, federal, and unitary systems of government.

Nature of representation. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on how well alternative forms of representation serve the purposes of constitutional government.

Topic 2: What are the Foundations of the American Political System?

Standards:

The American idea of constitutional government. Students should be able to explain the central ideas of American constitutional government and their history.

How American constitutional government has shaped the character of American society. Students should be able to explain the extent to which Americans have internalized the values and principles of the Constitution and attempted to make its ideals realities.

Distinctive characteristics of American society. Students should be able to explain how the following characteristics tend to distinguish American society from most other societies.

The role of voluntarism in American life. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the importance of voluntarism in American society.

The role of organized groups in political life. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the contemporary role of organized groups in American social and political life.

Diversity in American society. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding diversity in American life.

American national identity and political culture. Students should be able to explain the importance of shared political and civic beliefs and values to the maintenance of constitutional democracy in an increasingly diverse American society.

Character of American political conflict. Students should be able to describe the character of American political conflict and explain factors that usually prevent it or lower its intensity.

Liberalism and American constitutional democracy. Students should be able to explain the meaning of the terms “liberal” and “democracy” in the phrase “liberal democracy.”

Republicanism and American constitutional democracy. Students should be able to explain how and why ideas of classical republicanism are reflected in the values and principles of American constitutional democracy.

Fundamental values and principles. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on what the fundamental values and principles of American political life are and their importance to the maintenance of constitutional democracy.

Conflicts among values and principles in American political and social life. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues in which fundamental values and principles may be in conflict.

Disparities between ideals and reality in American political and social life. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about issues concerning the disparities between American ideals and realities.

Topic 3: How Does the Government Established by the Constitution Embody the Purposes, Values, and Principles of American Democracy?

Standards:

Distributing governmental power and preventing its abuse. Students should be able to explain how the United States Constitution grants and distributes power to national and state government and how it seeks to prevent the abuse of power.

The American federal system. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding the distribution of powers and responsibilities within the federal system.

The institutions of the national government. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding the purposes, organization, and functions of the institutions of the national government.

Major responsibilities of the national government in domestic and foreign policy. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding the major responsibilities of the national government for domestic and foreign policy.

Financing the government through taxation. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding how government should raise money to pay for its operations and services.

The constitutional status of state and local governments. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding the proper relationship between the national government and the state and local governments.

Organization of state and local governments. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding the relationships between state and local governments and citizen access to those governments.

Major responsibilities of state and local governments. Students should be able to identify the major responsibilities of their state and local governments and evaluate how well they are being fulfilled.

The place of law in American society. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the role and importance of law in the American political system.

Judicial protection of the rights of individuals. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on current issues regarding judicial protection of individual rights.

The public agenda. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about how the public agenda is set.

Public opinion and the behavior of the electorate. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about the role of public opinion in American politics.

Political communication: television, radio, the press, and political persuasion. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the influence of the media on American political life.

Political parties, campaigns, and elections. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about the roles of political parties, campaigns, and elections in American politics.

Associations and groups. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about the contemporary roles of associations and groups in American politics.

Forming and carrying out public policy. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about the formation and implementation of public policy.

Topic 4: What is the Relationship of the United States to Other Nations and to World Affairs?

Standards:

Nation-states. Students should be able to explain how the world is organized politically.

Interaction among nation-states. Students should be able to explain how nation-states interact with each other.

International organizations. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the purposes and functions of international organizations in the world today.

The historical context of United States foreign policy. Students should be able to explain the principal foreign policy positions of the United States and evaluate their consequences.

Making and implementing United States foreign policy. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about how United States foreign policy is made and the means by which it is carried out.

The ends and means of United States foreign policy. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on foreign policy issues in light of American national interests, values, and principles.

Impact of the American concept of democracy and individual rights on the world. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about the impact of American political ideas on the world.

Political developments. Students should be evaluate, take, and defend positions about the effects of significant international political developments on the United States and other nations.

Economic, technological, and cultural developments. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about the effects of significant economic, technological, and cultural developments in the United States and other nations.

Demographic and environmental developments. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about what the response of American government at all levels should be to world demographic an environmental developments.

United States and international organizations. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about what the relationship of the United States should be to international organizations.

Topic 5: What are the Roles of the Citizen in American Democracy?

Standards:

The meaning of citizenship. Students should be able to explain the meaning of Citizenship in the United States.

Becoming a citizen. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding the criteria used for naturalization.

Personal rights. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issue involving personal rights.

Political rights. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding political rights.

Economic rights. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues involving economic rights.

Relationship among personal, political, and economic rights. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the relationship among personal, political, and economic rights.

Scope and limits of rights. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding the proper scope and limits of rights.

Personal responsibilities. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding the personal responsibilities of citizens in American constitutional democracy.

Civic responsibilities. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on issues regarding civic responsibilities of citizens in American constitutional democracy.

Dispositions that lead the citizen to be an independent member of society. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the importance to American constitutional democracy of dispositions that lead individuals to become independent members of society.

Dispositions that foster respect for individual worth and human dignity. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the importance to American constitutional democracy of dispositions that foster respect for individual worth and human dignity.

Dispositions that incline the citizen to public affairs. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the importance to American constitutional democracy of dispositions that incline citizens to public affairs.

Dispositions that facilitate thoughtful and effective participation in public affairs. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the importance to American constitutional democracy of dispositions that facilitate thoughtful and effective participation in public affairs.

The relationship between politics and the attainment of individual and public goals. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions on the relationship between politics and the attainment of individual and public goals.

The difference between political and social participation. Students should be able to explain the difference between political and social participation.

Forms of political participation. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about the means that citizens should use to monitor and influence the formation and implementation of public policy.

Political leadership and public service. Students should be able to evaluate, take, and defend positions about the functions of leadership in an American constitutional democracy.

Knowledge and participation. Students should be able to explain the importance of knowledge to competent and responsible participation in American democracy.

VOLUNTARY NATIONAL CONTENT STANDARDS IN ECONOMICS

1997

EconomicsAmerica/National Council on Economic Education

Publication Title: Voluntary National Content Standards in Economics

Contact: National Council on Economic Education
1140 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
<http://www.economicsamerica.org/STANDARDS.html>
Virtual Economics, Version 2.0 (CD-ROM disc)

Development: A coalition of representatives from the National Council on Economic Education, its network of affiliated councils and centers, the National Association of Economic Educators, the Foundation for Teaching Economics, and the American Economic Association's Committee on Economic Education. Financial support was provided by the Calvin K. Kazanjian Economics Foundation, Inc., the AT&T Foundation, and the Foundation for Teaching Economics. The Project Director is Bonnie Meszaros.

Purpose: "The purpose of the Voluntary National Content Standards in Economics is to help raise the quality of economic education in America's schools (four total). (They) provide a tool for educators, specifying what students, kindergarten through grade 12, should learn about basic economics and the economy as they go through school, so that they will be better informed workers, consumers and producers, savers and investors, and most important, citizens."

Content Standards: There are 20 standards organized around the essential principles of economics. Skills as well as content play an important part in developing economic reasoning. Rationales for each standard and multiple benchmarks for grades 4, 8, and 12 of specific content knowledge and the skills/abilities that comprise the overall standard are provided.

Standard 1 Productive resources are limited. Therefore, people can not have all the goods and services they want; as a result, they must choose some things and give up others.

Standard 2 Effective decision making requires comparing the additional costs of alternatives with the additional benefits. Most choices involve doing a little more or a little less of something: few choices are "all or nothing" decisions.

Standard 3 Different methods can be used to allocate goods and services. People acting individually or collectively through government, must choose which methods to use to allocate different kinds of goods and services.

Standard 4 People respond predictably to positive and negative incentives.

Standard 5 Voluntary exchange occurs only when all participating parties expect to gain. This is true for trade among individuals or organizations within a nation, and usually among individuals or organizations in different nations.

Standard 6 When individuals, regions, and nations specialize in what they can produce at the lowest cost and then trade with others, both production and consumption increase.

Standard 7 Markets exist when buyers and sellers interact. This interaction determines market prices and thereby allocates scarce goods and services.

Standard 8 Prices send signals and provide incentives to buyers and sellers. When supply or demand changes, market prices adjust, affecting incentives.

Standard 9 Competition among sellers lowers costs and prices, and encourages producers to produce more of what consumers are willing and able to buy. Competition among buyers increases prices and allocates goods and services to those people who are willing and able to pay the most for them.

Standard 10 Institutions evolve in market economies to help individuals and groups accomplish their goals. Banks, labor unions, corporations, legal systems, and not-for-profit organizations are examples of important institutions. A different kind of institution, clearly defined and enforced property rights, is essential to a market economy.

Standard 11 Money makes it easier to trade, borrow, save, invest, and compare the value of goods and services.

Standard 12 Interest rates, adjusted for inflation, rise and fall to balance the amount saved with the amount borrowed, which affects the allocation of scarce resources between present and future uses.

Standard 13 Income for most people is determined by the market value of the productive resources they sell. What workers earn depends, primarily, on the market value of what they produce and how productive they are.

Standard 14 Entrepreneurs are people who take the risks of organizing productive resources to make goods and services. Profit is an important incentive that leads entrepreneurs to accept the risks of business failure.

Standard 15 Investment in factories, machinery, new technology, and in the health, education, and training of people can raise future standards of living.

Standard 16 There is an economic role for government in a market economy whenever the benefits of a government policy outweigh its costs. Governments often provide for national defense, address environmental concerns, define and protect property rights, and attempt to make markets more competitive. Most government policies also redistribute income.

Standard 17 Costs of government policies sometimes exceed benefits. This may occur because of incentives facing voters, government officials, and government employees, because of actions by special interest groups that can impose costs on the general public, or because social goals other than economic efficiency are being pursued.

Standard 18 A nation's overall levels of income, employment, and prices are determined by the interaction of spending and production decisions made by all households, firms, government agencies, and others in the economy.

Standard 19 Unemployment imposes costs on individuals and nations. Unexpected inflation imposes costs on many people and benefits some others because it arbitrarily redistributes purchasing power. Inflation can reduce the rate of growth of national living standards because individuals and organizations use resources to protect themselves against the uncertainty of future prices.

Standard 20 Federal government budgetary policy and the Federal Reserve System's monetary policy influence the overall levels of employment, output, and prices.

GEOGRAPHY FOR LIFE: NATIONAL GEOGRAPHY STANDARDS

1994

Geography Education Standards Project

Publication Title: Geography for Life: National Geography Standards

Contact: National Geographic Society
P.O. Box 1640
Washington, D.C. 20013-1640
<http://www.tapr.org/-ird/Nordick/Standards.html>

Development: Geography Education Standards Project on behalf of the American Geographical Society, Association of American Geographers, National Council for Geographic Education and National Geographic Society with financial support from the U.S. Department of Education, the National Endowment for the Humanities, and the National Geographic Society. Executive Director is Anthony R. de Sousa.

Purpose: “The objective of these standards is to develop world-class levels of understanding of geography which will be useful in the context of workplace, voter’s booth, and people’s lives in the United States. Standards from other countries have been taken into account in setting reference levels, but we are committed to the idea that the National Geography Standards must address what America needs and wants.”

Content Standards:

The World in Spatial Terms

Standard 1 How to use maps and other geographic representations, tools, and technologies to acquire, process, and report information from a spatial perspective

Standard 2 How to use mental maps to organize information about people, places, and environments in a spatial context

Standard 3 How to analyze the spatial organization of people, places, and environments on the Earth’s surface

Places and Regions

Standard 4 The physical and human characteristics of places

Standard 5 That people create regions to interpret Earth's complexity

Standard 6 How culture and experience influence people's perceptions of places and regions

Physical Systems

Standard 7 The physical processes that shape the patterns of Earth's surface

Standard 8 The characteristics and spatial distribution of ecosystems on Earth's surface

Human Systems

Standard 9 The characteristics, distribution, and migration of human populations on Earth's surface

Standard 10 The characteristics, distribution, and complexity of Earth's cultural mosaics

Standard 11 The patterns and networks of economic interdependence on Earth's surface

Standard 12 The processes, patterns, and functions of human settlement

Standard 13 How the forces of cooperation and conflict among people influence the division and control of Earth's surface

Environment and Society

Standard 14 How human actions modify the physical environment

Standard 15 How physical systems affect human systems

Standard 16 The changes that occur in the meaning, use, distribution, and importance of resources

The Uses of Geography

Standard 17 How to apply geography to interpret the past

Standard 18 How to apply geography to interpret the present and plan for the future

For standards specifically for grades K-4, grades 5-8, and grades 9-12 consult chapters 5 – 7 in Geography for Life: National Geography Standards. Each of the 18 standards in each of the grade level grouping contains:

- multiple statements of specific content knowledge the student understands
- multiple statements of specific skills with benchmarks the student is able to do

NATIONAL STANDARDS FOR HISTORY

1996

National Center for History in Schools

Publication Title: National Standards for History (Basic Edition)

Contact: National Center for History in the Schools
UCLA, Department of History
405 Hilgard Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1473
<http://www.sscnet.ucla.edu/nchs/hstocb>.

Note: Following the controversy over the history standards released in 1994 as three separate volumes (Expanding Children's World in Time and Space (K-4), National Standards for United States History (grades 5-12), and National Standards for World History (grades 5-12)), the standards have now been revised. This single, 215-page publication is the official standards document, replacing the three original volumes. Most of the teaching and class activity suggestions that were in the original volumes are omitted from this revised volume but can be purchased separately.

Development: Administered by the National Center for History in the Schools at the University of California, Los Angeles under the guidance of the National Council for History Standards. Financial support was provided by the National Endowment for the Humanities and the Office of Educational Research and Improvement of the U.S. Department of Education. Co-directors of the project are Gary B. Nash and Charlotte Crabtree.

National Standards for History grades K-4: The standards are divided into standards in historical thinking followed by four topics.

Standards of historical thinking for grades K-4:

Standard 1 Chronological Thinking

Distinguish between past, present, and future time.
Identify the temporal structure of a historical narrative or story.
Establish temporal order in constructing students' own historical narratives.

Measure and calculate calendar time.
Interpret data presented in time lines.
Create time lines.
Explain change and continuity over time.

Standard 2 Historical Comprehension

Identify the author or source of the historical document or narrative.
Reconstruct the literal meaning of a historical passage.
Identify the central question(s) the historical narrative addresses.
Read historical narratives imaginatively.
Appreciate historical perspectives.
Draw upon data in historical maps.
Draw upon visual and mathematical data presented in graphs.
Draw upon visual data presented in photographs, paintings, cartoons, and architectural drawings.

Standard 3 Historical Analysis and Interpretation

Formulate questions to focus their inquiry or analysis.
Compare and contrast differing sets of ideas, values, personalities, behaviors, and institutions.
Analyze historical fiction.
Distinguish between fact and fiction.
Compare different stories about a historical figure, era, or event.
Analyze illustrations in historical stories.

Standard 4 Historical Research Capabilities

Formulate historical questions.
Analyze the interests and values of the various people involved.
Interrogate historical data.
Marshal needed knowledge of the time and place, and construct a story, explanation, or historical narrative.

Standard 5 Historical Issues—Analysis and Decision-Making

Identify problems and dilemmas in the past.
Analyze the interests and values of the various people involved.
Identify causes of the problem or dilemma.
Propose alternative choices for addressing the problem.
Formulate a position or course of action on an issue.
Identify the solution chosen.
Evaluate the consequences of a decision.

STANDARDS IN HISTORY FOR GRADES K- 4

Topic 1: Living and Working Together in Families and Communities, Now and Long Ago

Standard 1: Family Life Now and in the Recent Past; Family Life in Various Places Long Ago

Standard 2: History of Students' Local Community and How Communities in North America Varied Long Ago

Topic 2: The History of the Students' Own State or Region

Standard 3: The People, Events, Problems, and Ideas that Created History of Their State

Topic 3: The History of the United States: Democratic Principles and Values and the Peoples from Many Cultures Who Contributed to Its Cultural, Economic and Political Heritage

Standard 4: How Democratic Values Came to Be, and How They Have Been Exemplified by People, Events, and Symbols

Standard 5: The Causes and Nature of Various Movements of Large Groups of People into and within the United States, Now and Long Ago

Standard 6: Regional Folklore and Cultural Contributions That Helped to Form our National Heritage

Topic 4: The History of Peoples of Many Cultures Around the World

Standard 7: Selected attributes and Historical Developments of Various Societies in Africa, the Americas, Asia and Europe

Standard 8: Major discoveries in Science and Technology, their Social and Economic Effects and the Scientists and Inventors Responsible for Them

National Standards for History grades 5-12: The standards are divided into standards in historical thinking followed by four topics.

Standard 1 Chronological Thinking

Distinguish between past, present, and future time.

Identify in historical narratives the temporal structure of a historical narrative or story.

Establish temporal order in constructing historical narratives of their own.

Measure and calculate calendar time.
Interpret data presented in time lines.
Reconstruct patterns of historical succession and duration.
Compare alternative models for periodization.

Standard 2 Historical Comprehension

Reconstruct the literal meaning of a historical passage.
Identify the central question(s) the historical narrative addresses.
Read historical narratives imaginatively.
Evidence historical perspectives.
Draw upon data in historical maps.
Utilize visual and mathematical data presented in charts, tables, pie and bar graphs, flow charts, Venn diagrams, and other graphic organizers.
Draw upon visual, literary, and musical sources.

Standard 3 Historical Analysis and Interpretation

Identify the author or source of the historical document or narrative.
Compare and contrast differing sets of ideas, values, personalities, behaviors, and institutions.
Differentiate between historical facts and historical interpretations.
Consider multiple perspectives.
Analyze cause-and-effect relationships and multiple causation, including the importance of the individual, the influence of ideas, and the role of chance.
Challenge arguments of historical inevitability.
Compare competing historical narratives.
Hold interpretations of history as tentative.
Evaluate major debates among historians.
Hypothesize the influence of the past.

Standard 4 Historical Research Capabilities

Formulate historical questions.
Obtain historical data.
Interrogate historical data.
Identify the gaps in the available records, marshal contextual knowledge and perspectives of the time and place, and construct a sound historical interpretation.

Standard 5 Historical Issues—Analysis and Decision-Making

Identify the issues and problems in the past.
Marshal evidence of antecedent circumstances and contemporary factors contributing to problems and alternative courses of action.

Identify relevant historical antecedents.
Evaluate alternative courses of action.
Formulate a position or course of action on an issue.
Evaluate the implementation of a decision.

UNITED STATES HISTORY STANDARDS FOR GRADES 5-12

Era 1: Three Worlds Meet (Beginnings to 1620)

Standard 1: Comparative characteristics of societies in the Americas, Western Europe, and Western Africa that increasingly interacted after 1450.

Standard 2: How early European exploration and colonization resulted in cultural and ecological interactions among previously unconnected peoples.

Era 2: Colonization and Settlement (1585-1763)

Standard 1: Why the Americas attracted Europeans, why they brought enslaved Africans to their colonies, and how Europeans struggled for control on North America and the Caribbean.

Standard 2: How political, religious, and social institutions emerged in the English colonies.

Standard 3: How the values and institutions of European economic life took root in the colonies, and how slavery reshaped European and African life in the Americas.

Era 3: Revolution and the New Nation (1754-1820s)

Standard 1: The causes of the American Revolution, the ideas and interests involved in forging the revolutionary movement, and the reasons for the American victory.

Standard 2: The impact of the American Revolution on politics, economy and society.

Standard 3: The institutions and practices of government created during the Revolution and how they were revised between 1787 and 1815 to create the foundation of the American political system based on the U.S Constitution and the Bill of Rights.

Era 4: Expansion and Reform (1801-1861)

Standard 1: United States territorial expansion between 1801 and 1861, and how it affected relations with external powers and Native Americans.

Standard 2: How the industrial revolution, increasing immigration, the rapid expansion of slavery, and the westward movement changed the lives of Americans and led toward regional tensions.

Standard 3: The extension, restriction, and reorganization of political democracy after 1800.

Standard 4: The sources and character of cultural, religious, and social reform movements in the antebellum period.

Era 5: Civil War and Reconstruction (1850-1877)

Standard 1: The causes of the Civil War

Standard 2: The course and character of the Civil War and its effects on the American people.

Standard 3: How various reconstruction plans succeeded or failed.

Era 6: The Development of the Industrial United States (1870-1900)

Standard 1: How the rise of corporations, heavy industry, and mechanized farming transformed the American people.

Standard 2: Massive immigration after 1870 and how new social patterns, conflicts and ideas of national unity developed amid growing cultural diversity.

Standard 3: The rise of the American labor movement and how political issues reflected social and economic changes.

Standard 4: Federal Indian policy and the United States foreign policy after the Civil War.

Era 7: The Emergency of Modern America (1890-1930)

Standard 1: How Progressives and others addressed problems of industrial capitalism, urbanization, and political corruption.

Standard 2: The changing role of the United States in world affairs through World War I

Standard 3: How the United States changed from the end of World War I to the eve of the Great Depression.

Era 8: The Great Depression and World War II (1929-1945)

Standard 1: The causes of the Great Depression and how it affected American Society.

Standard 2: How the New Deal addressed the Great Depression, transformed American federalism, and initiated the welfare state.

Standard 3: The causes and course of World War II, the character of the war at home and abroad, and its reshaping of the U.S. role in world affairs.

Era 9: Postwar United States (1945 to early 1970s)

Standard 1: The economic boom and social transformation of postwar United States

Standard 2: How the Cold War and conflicts in Korea and Vietnam influenced domestic and international politics

Standard 3: Domestic policies after World War II

Standard 4: The struggle for racial and gender equality and the extension of civil liberties

Era 10: Contemporary United States (1968 to the Present)

Standard 1: Recent developments in foreign and domestic politics

Standard 2: Economic, social, and cultural developments in contemporary United States

WORLD HISTORY STANDARDS FOR GRADES 5 – 12

Era 1: The Beginnings of Human Society

Standard 1: The biological and cultural processes that gave rise to the earliest human communities.

Standard 2: The process that led to the emergency of agricultural societies around the world.

Era 2: Early Civilizations and the Emergence of Pastoral Peoples, 4000-1000 BCE

Standard 1: The biological and cultural processes that gave rise to the earliest human communities.

Standard 2: The processes that led to the emergency of agricultural societies around the world.

Era 2: Early Civilizations and the Emergence of Patoral Peoples, 4000-1000 BCE

Standard 1: The major characteristics of civilization and how civilizations emerged in Mesopotamia, Egypt, and the Indus Valley.

Standard 2: How agrarian societies spread and new states emerged in the third and second millennia BCE.

Era 3: Classical Traditions, Major Religions, and Giant Empires, 1000 BCE-300 CE

Standard 1: Innovation and change from 1000-600 BCE: horses, ships, iron, and monotheistic faith.

Standard 2: The emergency of Aegean civilization and how interrelations developed among peoples of the eastern Mediterranean and Southwest Asia, 600-200 BCE.

Standard 3: How major religions and large-scale empires arose in the Mediterranean basin, China, and India, 500 BCE-300 CE.

Standard 4: The development of early agrarian civilizations in Mesoamerica.

Standard 5: Major global trends from 1000 BCE-300 CE.

Era 4: Expanding Zones of Exchange and Encounter, 300-1000 CE

Standard 1: Imperial crises and their aftermath, 300-700 CE.

Standard 2: Causes and consequences of the rise of Islamic civilization in the 7th – 10th centuries.

Standard 3: Major developments in East Asia and Southeast Asia in the era of the Tang dynasty, 600-900 CE.

Standard 4: The search for political, social, and cultural redefinition in Europe, 500-1000 CE.

Standard 5: The development of agricultural societies and new states in tropical Africa and Oceania.

Standard 6: The rise of centers of civilization in Mesoamerica and Andean South America in the first millennium CE.

Standard 7: Major global trends from 3000-1000 CE.

Era 5: Intensified Hemispheric Interactions, 1000-1500 CE

Standard 1: The maturing of an interregional system of communication, trade, and cultural exchange in an era of Chinese economic power and Islamic expansion.

Standard 2: The redefining of European society and culture, 1000-1300 CE.

Standard 3: The rise of the Mongol empire and its consequences for Eurasian peoples, 1200-1300.

Standard 4: The growth of states, towns, and trade in Sub-Saharan Africa between the 11th and 15th centuries.

Standard 5: Patterns of crisis and recovery in Afro-Eurasia, 1300-1450.

Standard 6: The expansion of states and civilizations in the Americas, 1000-1500.

Standard 7: Major global trends from 1000-1500 CE.

Era 6: The Emergency of the First Global Age, 1450-1770

Standard 1: How the transoceanic inter-linking of all major regions of the world from 1450-1600 led to global transformations.

Standard 2: How European society experienced political, economic, and cultural transformations in an age of global intercommunication, 1450-1750.

Standard 3: How large territorial empires dominated much of Eurasia between the 16th and 18th centuries.

Standard 4: Economic, political, and cultural interrelations among peoples of Africa, Europe and the Americas, 1500-1750.

Standard 5: Transformations in Asian societies in the era of European expansion.

Standard 6: Major global trends from 1450-1770.

Era 7: An Age of Revolutions 1750-1914

Standard 1: The causes and consequences of political revolutions in the late 18th and early 19th centuries.

Standard 2: The causes and consequences of the agricultural and industrial revolutions 1700-1850.

Standard 3: The transformation of Eurasian societies in an era of global trade and rising European power, 1750-1870.

Standard 4: Patterns of nationalism, state building, and social reform in Europe and the Americas, 1830-1914.

Standard 5: Patterns of global change in the era of Western military and economic domination, 1800-1914.

Standard 6: Major global trends from 1750-1914.

Era 8: A Half-Century of Crisis and Achievement, 1900-1945

Standard 1: Reform, revolution, and social change in the world economy of the early century.

Standard 2: The causes and global consequences of World War I.

Standard 3: The search for peace and stability in the 1920s and 1930s.

Standard 4: The causes and global consequences of World War II.

Standard 5: Major global trends from 1900 to the end of World War II.

Era 9: The 20th Century Since 1945: Promises and Paradoxes

Standard 1: How post-World War II reconstruction occurred, new international power relations took shape, and colonial empires broke up.

Standard 2: The search for community, stability, and peace in an interdependent world.

Standard 3: Major global trends since World War II.

World History Across the Eras

Standard 1: Long-Term changes and recurring patterns in world history.

NATIONAL BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL TEACHING STANDARDS

2001

Publication Title: National Board for PROFESSIONAL TEACHING STANDARDS (NBPTS Standards)

Contact: National Board for Professional Teaching Standards
26555 Evergreen Road
Suite 400
Southfield, Michigan 48076
1-800-22TEACH
nbpts.org/standards

Development: The National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS) are at the core of the teacher-driven National Board Certification process. These NBPTS Standards provide a framework of standards and certificates in fifteen (15) mostly content areas, including *Social Studies – History*, that are organized by four (4) developmental levels of the students and subject being taught.

Purpose: These NBPTS Standards are built upon the five *core propositions* to reflect the accomplished teacher's effectiveness, knowledge, skills, dispositions and commitments.

Standard 1: Knowledge of Students

Accomplished teachers understand the cognitive, physical, and social development of young people and the diversity among them, observe them insightfully, and use this information to guide their practice and to form constructive relationships with the students they teach.

Standard 2: Valuing Diversity

Accomplished teachers understand that each student brings diverse perspectives to any experience. These teachers encourage all students to know and value themselves and others.

Standard 3: Knowledge of Subject Matter

Accomplished teachers draw on a broad knowledge of social studies and history to establish important and challenging instructional goals that engage and empower students, and they plan an integrated curriculum based on the major concepts, themes, principles, relationships, and processes illuminated by history and social studies.

Standard 4: Advancing Disciplinary Knowledge and Understanding

Accomplished teachers have a repertoire of strategies and techniques that engage student interest in and advance student understanding of United States History, World History, Economics, Political Science, and Geography.

Standard 5: Promoting Social Understanding

Accomplished teachers promote in their students an understanding of how the social aspects of the human condition have evolved over time, the variations in societies that occur in different physical environments and cultural settings, and the emerging trends that seem likely to shape the future.

Standard 6: Developing Civic Competence

Accomplished teachers develop in their students the knowledge, skills, and attitudes necessary to be responsible citizens of a constitutional democracy.

Standard 7: Instructional Resources

Accomplished teachers select, adapt, and create rich and varied resources for social studies and history and use them productively.

Standard 8: Learning environments

Accomplished teachers create and foster for students dynamic learning environments characterized by trust, equity, risk-taking, independence, and collaboration.

Standard 9: Assessment

Accomplished teachers employ a variety of assessment methods to obtain useful information about student learning and development and assist students in reflecting on their own progress.

Standard 10: Reflection

Accomplished teachers reflect on their practice, on students' performance and on developments in their field to steadily extend their knowledge, improve their teaching, and refine their philosophy of education.

Standard 11: Family Partnerships

Accomplished teachers understand and value the distinctive role of parents and guardians, and they continually seek opportunities to build strong partnerships with them.

Standard 12: Professional Contributions

Accomplished teachers regularly work with others to foster the growth and development of their colleagues, their school, and their field.

Rhode Island Skills Commission

SOCIAL STUDIES STANDARDS

1999

Publication Title: SOCIAL STUDIES STANDARDS for the Certificate of Initial Mastery

Contact: Rhode Island Skills Commission
255 Westminster Street
Providence, Rhode Island 02903
Colleen Callahan, 401-222-4600, ext. 2192
Fax: 401-861-1907
Riftcol@aol.com

Development: A task force of social studies teachers representing the consortium of 15 school districts in Rhode Island that comprise the Rhode Island Skills Commission. The Project Director is Colleen Callahan.

Purpose: The purpose of the CIM is to specify the high standards students must meet for gainful employment, life, and living in the 21st century. It creates a process whereby student learning can be externally validated and recognized in a manner that ensures businesses and others that students possess the competencies needed to achieve success in the work environment.

SOCIAL STUDIES STANDARDS FOR CIM

CIVICS/GOVERNMENT

STANDARD 1 – Structure & Purpose of Government

The student understands the purposes, structure, and variety of governments with specific emphasis on constitutional democracy.

STANDARD 2 – Foundations of the American Political System

The student understands the principles and ideas underlying the American political system.

STANDARD 3 – Civic Responsibility

The student understands the rights and responsibilities of the United States citizens and employs the civic skills necessary for participatory citizenship.

STANDARD 4 – International Diplomacy

The student understands the relationship of United States politics and government to world affairs.

ECONOMICS

STANDARD 1 – Economic Decision Making

The student knows and is able to use the economic way of thinking in making decisions, and can evaluate alternative decision making systems that may be used by a society.

STANDARD 2 – Markets and Prices

The student knows how markets function and is able to analyze the roles that prices play in a market-based economy.

STANDARD 3 – Role of Government

The student knows and is able to evaluate the role of government in the United States economy.

STANDARD 4 – Trade

The student knows and is able to evaluate the costs and benefits of trade among individual and organizations, both within a nation and between different nations.

STANDARD 5 – Income, Output, and Employment

The student knows how income, output, and employment are determined in a market economy, and is able to evaluate the impact of policy decisions on achieving the goals of economic growth, full employment, and price stability.

GEOGRAPHY

STANDARD 1 – The World in Spatial Terms

The student observes and understands the ordered patterns that blanket Earth's surface-where things are, how they are arranged, why they are in such locations, and how these various phenomena relate to each other.

STANDARD 2 – Places and Regions

The student identifies and analyzes the nature of places and the characteristics of regions-why things are where they are, what physical and human components give them definition.

STANDARD 3 – Physical Systems

The student understands the social, cultural, and economic components of human activity which help shape Earth's surface, human settlements, and structures.

STANDARD 5 – Environment and Society

The student understands the role of geography in human history and in the development of diverse patterns of human settlement and activities.

HISTORY

STANDARD 1 – Chronological Thinking

The student uses chronology as the basis for organizing historical thoughts.

STANDARD 2 – Use of Historical Data

The student gathers, organizes and examines historical data.

STANDARD 3 – Analysis Interpretation

The student evaluates and interprets a variety of historical data including primary and secondary sources.

STANDARD 4 – Historical Knowledge

The student develops historical knowledge of major events and phenomena throughout the development of world and United States history while identifying major issues, problems, and decision making in regards to these historical events.

APPLIED LEARNING STANDARDS¹

The following Applied Learning Standards from the New Standards Performance Standards Documents, 1997, are woven throughout the CIM content area standards and suggested activities. The expectation is that these standards should be integrated into curriculum, instruction, and student activities. It is understood that Applied Learning Standards will be assessed and reported out separately and in the form of tasks combined with assessments of the content areas.

STANDARD 1 – Problem Solving

The student applies problem solving strategies in purposeful ways, both in situations where the problem and the desirable solutions are clearly evident and in situations requiring a creative approach to achieve outcome.

DESIGNING – The student designs and creates a product, service or system to meet an identified need.

IMPROVING A SYSTEM – The student troubleshoots problems in the operation of a system in need of repair or devises and tests ways of improving the effectiveness of a system in operation.

PLANNING AND ORGANIZING – The student plans and organizes an event or activity.

STANDARD 2 – Communication Tools and Techniques

The student communicates information and ideas in ways that are appropriate to the purpose and audience through spoken, written, and graphic means of expression.

STANDARD 3 – Information Tools and Techniques

The student uses information-gathering techniques, analyzes and evaluates information and uses information technology to assist in collecting, analyzing, organizing, and presenting information.

STANDARD 4 – Learning and Self-Management Tools and Techniques

The student manages and directs his/her own learning.

STANDARD 5 – Tools and Techniques for Working with Others

The student works with others to achieve a shared goal, helps other people learn on-the-job, and responds effectively to the needs of a client.

Summary statement of Rhode Island's Social Studies Standards for CIM

This includes:

Civics/Government

1. Structure & Purposes of Government
 2. Foundations of the American Political System
 3. Civic Responsibility
- International Diplomacy

Each of the four civics/government standards contain a statement of the standard, a rationale, and multiple statements of what the student is able to do with the knowledge at about grade level 4, grade level 8, and grade level 10.

Economics

1. Economic Decision Making
2. Markets and Prices
3. Role of Government
4. Trade
5. Income, Output, and Employment

Each of the five economics standards contain a statement of the standard, a rationale, and multiple statements of specific content knowledge with multiple statements for each of what the student is able to do with the knowledge at about grade level 4, grade level 8, and grade level 10.

Geography

1. The World in Spatial Terms
2. Places and Regions
3. Physical Systems
4. Human Systems
5. Environment and Society
6. The Uses of Geography

Each of the six geography standards contains a statement of the standard, a rationale, multiple statements of what the student is able to do with the knowledge, and samples of student activities.

History

1. Chronological Thinking
2. Uses of Historical Data
3. Analysis & Interpretation
4. Historical Knowledge

Each of the four history standards contain a statement of the standard, a rationale, and multiple statements of what the student is able to do with the knowledge at about grade level 4, grade level 8, and grade level 10. In standard 4, Historical Knowledge, the rationale indicates that the content is organized into two time periods divided into multiple eras for United States History and two time periods divided into multiple eras for World History. Achievement of Initial Mastery (at about grade level 10) involves only the fulfillment of the standards in the eras of the first time periods in United States History and in World History.

Applied Learning Standards

1. Problem Solving
2. Communication Tools and Techniques
3. Information Technology Tools and Techniques
4. Learning and Self-Management Tools and Techniques
5. Working with Other Tools and Techniques

Southern Rhode Island Regional Collaborative

SORICO SOCIAL STUDIES STANDARDS

Fall, 1998 (DRAFT)

Publication Title: SORICO Social Studies Standards, Grades K-12

Contact: Southern Rhode Island Regional Collaborative
646 Camp Avenue
North Kingstown, RI 02852
Lizann Gibson, 401-295-2888 Ext. 102
Fax: 401-295-3232
Email: gibsonl@ride.ri.net
Or Lgibson606@aol

Development: These social studies content standards for K-12 were developed over several years prior to 1998 by a committee of social studies teachers representing South County school districts. These standards are based upon the NCSS National Social Studies Standards (EXPECTATIONS of EXCELLENCE/CURRICULUM STANDARDS for SOCIAL STUDIES, 1994).

Purpose: These standards provide guidelines for the organization of social studies content via clustering of these grades thus far:

K-2	Gr 7-8
Gr 3-4	Gr 9-12 (US History)
Gr 5-6	Gr 9-12 (World History)

NOTE: It is anticipated that these SORICO standards will be further refined as separate standards at every grade level.

Standard 1.0: The student knows and understands how people are affected by culture and diversity and...

Standard 2.0: The student knows and understands the ways people view themselves in and over time and...

Standard 3.0: The student knows and understands the interrelationships among people, places and environments and...

Standard 4.0: The student knows and understands individual development and social, cultural influences that shape personal identity and...

Standard 5.0: The student knows and understands that interactions among individuals, groups and institutions play an integral role in a person's life and...

Standard 6.0: The student knows and understands how people create and change structures of power, authority and governance and...

Standard 7.0: The student knows and understands why and how people organize for the production, distribution and consumption of goods and services and...

Standard 8.0: The student knows and understands the relationships among science, technology and society and...

Standard 9.0: The student knows and understands global connections and interdependence and...

Standard 10.0: The students knows and understands the ideals, principles and practices of citizenship in a democratic republic and...

Selected References

Alter, G.T. (2000). *Social studies content for the elementary school teacher*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill.

American Indian supplement to the national standards for social studies education. (1998). Washington, DC: ORBIS Associates.

Campbell, L. & Campbell, B. (1999). *Multiple intelligences and student achievement: success stories from six schools*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Carr, J. & Harris, D.E. (2001). *Succeeding with standards, linking curriculum, assessment and action planning*. Alexandria VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Explorasource connecting resources, standards, and learning needs. (2000). Bellevue, WA: MediaSeek Technologies. On the World Wide Web:
<http://www.explorasource.com/educator/>

Expectations of excellence: curriculum standards for social studies. (1994). Washington, DC: National Council for the Social Studies.

Geography for life: national geography standards. (1994). Geography Education Standards Project. Washington, DC: National Geographic Research and Exploration.

Haas, M.E. & Laughlin, M.A. (1997). *Meeting the standards: social studies readings for K-6 educators*. Washington, DC: National Council for the Social Studies.

Harris, D.E. & Carr, J.F. (1996). *How to use standards in the classroom*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Laughlin, M.A. & Hartoonian, M.H. (1997). *Succeed with the standards in your social studies classroom*. Portland, ME: J. Weston Walch Publisher.

Learning standards for social studies. (1996). Albany, NY: University of the State of New York, State Education Department: Revised ed.

Lindquist, T. (1997). *Ways that work: putting social studies standards into practice*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Morrow, R. (1999). *Development of the National Council for the Social Studies curriculum standards for social studies, expectations of excellence*. Austin, TX: University of Texas, Ph.D. Dissertation. On the World Wide Web: [http:// www.lib.umi.com/cr/utexas/main](http://www.lib.umi.com/cr/utexas/main)

Myers, C.B. (2000). *National standards for social studies teachers*. Washington, DC: National Council for Social Studies.

National board for professional teaching standards. (2001). Southfield, MI: National Board for Professional Teaching Standards.

National standards for civics and government. (1994). Calabasas, CA: Center for Civic Education.

National standards for history / Basic Edition. (1996). Los Angeles, CA: National Center for History in the Schools.

National Standards for the teaching of high school psychology. (1995). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

The New Standards. (1997). Pittsburgh, PA: National Center on Education and the University of Pittsburgh.

Parker, W. & Jarolimek, J. (2001). *A sampler of curriculum standards for social studies: expectations of excellence*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill.

Pryor, C.R., Spring, J.H. & Bitter, G.G. (2000). *Democratic practice workbook: activities for the field experience*. Boston, MA: McGraw Hill.

Standards-based teaching and learning, SALT Works. (n.d.). Providence, RI: Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education.

Voluntary national content standards in economics. (1997). NY: National Council on Economic Education.

Woodward, K.S. (1999). *Alignment of national and state standards: a report*. Washington, DC: General Educational Development Testing Service.

Zemelman, S., Daniels, H, & Hyde, A. (1998). *Best practice: new standards for teaching and learning in America's schools*. 2nd ed. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

ASSESSMENT IN THE SOCIAL STUDIES

What Does Assessment Look Like?

Educational assessments take many forms, and are used for a variety of reasons. Teachers need to measure student learning, identify areas of difficulty for individual students, provide opportunity for students to apply problem-solving skills under controlled situations or plan instructional strategies; the entire educational team - teachers, administrators, parents, and policy-makers need evidence of the effectiveness or impact of an educational program. No single assessment instrument, of course, can meet all these needs; multiple instruments and techniques, then, can and should be used by classroom teachers, building and district administrators, state education departments, and even students, to measure most effectively student learning and understanding. The use of many and varied assessments with input from each constituency in the educational program provides the most comprehensive portrait of Rhode Island's students' achievement in the Social Studies.

What Does Assessment Have to Do With Standards?

Assessment measures how well and to what degree the schools are teaching those enduring skills believed to be essential to student success. Since standards and assessment are so interconnected, it is impossible to discuss one without relating it to the other. Curriculum design that truly teaches to a standard is not just a reshuffling of the scope and sequence approach to teaching the social studies; not only is that approach very prescriptive, but it frustrates any educator by asking him or her to teach an impossible range of facts and knowledge. Standards-based teaching and standards-embedded assessment describe the processes of making choices that will best meet student, teacher, and district needs.

What Standards and Assessments Do We Use?

A common misconception is that national standards and, consequently, national assessment is a one-size-fits-all formula. National standards in no way diminish nor compromise local autonomy. The national standards provide benchmarks or guides to student learning. A national standard, for example, might state that by the end of fourth grade a student should be able to arrange five events in chronological order, or be able to explain the difference between a natural boundary and a political boundary. State and local boards maintain the latitude to decide whether that is a standard they would like their students to meet, and can determine what activities they would want to include according to the context that would work best for their students. Two (2) examples of national assessments are NAEP and CSSAP.

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

Assessment remains, largely, a local function. The National Assessment of Educational Progress or NAEP, has measured at a national level student knowledge of history, civics, and geography a number of times in the past two decades. Many classes in Rhode Island over the years have participated in both NAEP pilot studies and assessments in the social studies. The Rhode Island Skills Commission has developed a set of standards aligned with the national standards for each of the social studies disciplines. These outline the content and skills that would be appropriate at grades 4, 8, and 12 for Rhode Island students. The Rhode Island Skills Commission document also cites activities that would address these standards, and indicators that could be used to measure students' learning. Certainly, it is the individual teacher who is closest to the student's work, but assessment that is aligned with state expectations and national standards helps districts avoid the pitfalls of wide variations in expectations and wide variations in educational opportunities. Assessment aligned to a standard provides teachers with a common reference point.

Comprehensive Social Studies Assessment Project (CSSAP)/State Collaborative on Assessment and Student Standards (SCASS) 2000-2001

PURPOSE/INTENT

As the first comprehensive national social studies assessment effort initiated by twenty-five (25) participating states, including Rhode Island, these assessments have been developed between 1997 and 2001 by teachers to measure student knowledge and thinking skills in history, geography, civics and economics at the upper elementary, middle school and high school levels. CSSAP is a multi-measure assessment system.

CSSAP COMPONENTS/MATERIALS

- THE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT MANUAL has been completed and is available in print (237 pp.) and in CD-ROM.
- The PORTFOLIO IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE (1,038 pp.) has also been completed and is available in print and in CD ROM.
- THE CSSAP FRAMEWORK and the SOCIAL STUDIES CONTENT STANDARDS are also available.
(Additional information on ordering these materials can be found after the *Selected References* at the end of this Assessment Chapter.)
- Some states are planning on using the assessment items in their large-scale assessment. Others will use the assessment items to provide local school systems with good models for assessing social studies instruction. All should benefit from the availability of an assessment item CD-ROM, portfolio assessment models, and professional development materials.

Participating CSSAP States in 2000-2001:

Connecticut, Delaware, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin

What Are Some Types of Assessment, and How Do We Know Which Ones to Use?

This section of the document offers a range of assessment tools and techniques that include formal and informal, individual and group assessments, teacher- and textbook-designed, norm- and criterion-referenced, some that measure knowledge of facts, some that measure acquisitions of skills, and some that seek to measure actual performance outside the classroom.

The very diversity of what is assessed, and the myriad of means by which learning can be assessed highlights another common misconception, which is the nature of assessment. Assessment is not the end-product of a teaching experience. It is neither an afterthought nor the sole purpose for learning, but a means to measure whether or not the educational system has met the goals it has set for itself. Like any element of good curriculum design, meaningful and valuable assessment at the classroom level and at national level, begins with a clear understanding of the goals and purposes of the instructional unit. What concepts, what enduring ideas should the student learn that will have lasting value beyond the classroom? What facts, skills, habits of mind, or attitudes will contribute to those enduring ideas? How can these ideas be taught, and how best can understanding be measured?

Are There Any Overriding Principles That Characterize A Good Assessment?

Some basic principles apply to student assessment at any level. Again, effective assessment must be part of the overall design. It should begin with identifying the purpose and context in which the assessment is to be used, the type of information sought, and the use to which the information will be put. What rigorous and challenging standard should the student meet? What overarching understanding should the student have, and what topical understanding should he have? What facts, concepts, generalizations, and principles should the student master? What do we want these students to know and be able to do? The answers to all these questions should be determined by students' needs, developmental level, and previous achievements.

Once the standard and the concepts are identified, something called "essential questions" are formulated; for instance, an essential question that aligns with the National History 8th Grade standards is "What is a civilization?" One topical understanding and essential question that relates to it might be "What were some of the characteristics of Ancient Greece that would classify it as a major civilization?"

A good essential question and design for the assessment that will measure the students' proficiency in answering it must meet several criteria:

- There must be no one immediately obvious right answer.
- It must raise other important questions, across subject-area boundaries.
- It should address the philosophical or conceptual foundations of a discipline.
- It should have wide applicability.
- It should be framed to provoke and sustain student interest.
- Each student should be able to understand the question.

In Mapping the Big Picture: Integrating Curriculum and Assessment K-12, Jacobs defines an essential question as “the essence of what you believe students should examine and know – in the short time they have with you.”(p. 26)

The second stage of curriculum design as defined by Wiggins and McTighe, and the element that, again, must be most closely integrated with goals and standards, is the assessment component. What acceptable evidence will demonstrate students’ understanding and mastery of the content and skills they need? What are some of the valid and reliable measures of the targeted understandings? What opportunities are there for students to exhibit their understanding through authentic performance tasks? Is there sufficient and varied information to support inferences about each student’s understanding? Once again, the learning and instructional experiences are planned only after the means of assessment is defined.

Are There Any Other Principles to Keep in Mind When Designing Assessment?

A quality assessment program at each level should:

- Involve the student in designing the scoring rubric whenever possible;
- assess student knowledge and understanding of the social studies and social sciences in ways that are more complex and demanding than traditional tests, i.e., by including the assessment of higher order thinking skills and problem-solving ability;
- be valid, reliable, and fair to all students, taking into account the many ways that different students learn;
- be based on knowledge of how students learn and develop;
- be implemented in such a way that each assessment instrument is used and interpreted only for the intended purpose, and in a context where that purpose is clear to all;
- use assessment results for the purpose of improving instruction strategies and curriculum development; and
- promote equity by providing each student optimal opportunity to demonstrate knowledge and skills.

Are There Any Testing Models for Designing Assessment?

In Understanding by Design, Grant Wiggins and Jay McTighe delineate a range of assessment tools with a self-assessment tool for determining how well an individual plan is working.

(Include pages 17 – 21 of the pamphlet, Leadership by Design, Part I).

Is there a workable formula for integrating my assessment of student work with the overall curriculum design?

Wiggins and McTighe also offer a holistic plan for an integrative, standards-based assessment.

(Include pages 22 – 26 of same pamphlet cited above on the W.H.E.R.E.* plan.)

*W(here are we headed?)H(ook the student) E(xplore the subject and equip the student)R(ethink our work and ideas)E(valuate results)

What or Who Should Be Assessed?

Each component of the educational process should be assessed as to how well that part fits into the entire plan to inform instruction and improve students' true understanding. The instruction and assessment processes must be seen as a continuum on which questions and issues are raised, evidence is collected over time and in different forms, purposeful action is taken, and conscious choices are made that will result in the development of enduring understanding and vital life skills for Rhode Island's students. A breakdown and summary of those key elements and characteristics in the education process follows:

Assessment for Students

When appropriately and effectively applied, student assessment measures what we value. Many different methods of assessment should be used to assure that all students -- those with different abilities, backgrounds, and levels of English language proficiency -- have ample opportunity to be challenged by assessment. Moreover, assessment should be an integral part of the learning process, not the end result. An assessment program for students should:

- be coherent and comprehensive;
- be equitable and engage all students;
- be integrated with instructional strategies and curriculum materials to promote effective student learning; and
- provide information that will help yield valid inferences about students' learning.

Teachers and Assessment

Teachers must be actively involved in the entire assessment process if learning, instruction, and assessment are to become integrated in the classroom. Teachers need training, time, and support to be able to:

- understand the variety of assessment designs and strategies as well as the strengths, applications, and limitations of each assessment instrument;
- have effective instruments for each assessment purpose;

- assess students informally and frequently;
- make sound judgments of individual student achievement based on the results of assessments; and
- report student progress to parents, students, and administrators in a timely and meaningful way.

Schools, Communities, and Assessment

A school uses student assessments in a variety of ways. Some student assessments are geared to measuring individual student achievement. Others are used to evaluate the effectiveness of the school's programs in light of local, regional, or state expectations. It is important to report on the effectiveness of the school's programs to students, parents, teachers, school boards, other policymakers, and the community at large. Because statistical data and changes in assessment techniques which are left unexplained often can be confusing, such aspects of the assessment process should be open to review and scrutiny.

To provide effective evaluation of the school's programs for the community and clear understanding of the results of assessment, the school should:

- align classroom student assessment with adopted school curricula and educational objectives for students;
- make clear to both students and parents what the assessment instruments are measuring when they are applied;
- facilitate public, and in particular, parental understanding of the variety of assessment techniques being used in the schools; and
- ensure that student progress is reported to parents, and that the school's performance is reported to the community in an open and meaningful manner.

In the end, educational reform revolves around three central issues: what students should learn, how they should be taught, and how progress should be measured.

Curriculum, instruction, professional development, and assessment must mutually support one another in the educational process, with each serving common goals and high standards. Assessment, in particular, must cease to be an independent function designed principally for the efficiency and economy of administration. Instead, educators should use it to measure all facets of curriculum and instruction and consider its contributions to students' learning.

Three general principles, first enunciated by the Mathematical Sciences Education Board and slightly updated for this context should guide assessment efforts in any discipline:

1. The Content Principle: Assessment should reflect the social studies concepts and principles that are most important for students to learn.
2. The Learning Principle: Assessment should support good instructional practices and enhance learning.
3. The Equity Principle: Assessment should support every student's opportunity to learn important concepts and principles in the social studies.

These three principles place special demands on assessment reform at the classroom, school, district, state, and national levels if assessment is to be interwoven into the fabric of educational reform. An effective assessment should provide information that can be used to improve students' access to knowledge in the social studies and to help each student prepare to function effectively as citizen participants in our complex and changing society.

How do the Content Standards and Performance Standards Work Together in Designing the Assessment?

Measuring Achievement of Content Standards in the Social Studies

Changes in social studies curricula and instructional goals, as presented in various national standards documents for the social studies, require changes in assessment. Assessment of new goals and new curricula must go beyond administering norm-referenced tests or end-of-the-unit exams. What the student knows and is able to do must be intimately aligned with the performance standards. Familiar types of chapter tests should be used only to supplement more authentic assessment like community-based projects and portfolios of student work.

Knowledge of how individuals learn and recognition of preferred and diverse learning styles, requires that instruction and assessment strategies be varied to allow all students to learn and achieve at high levels.

Performance Assessments

Performance assessment, as explained in the Wiggins-McTighe model, can take many forms: demonstrations, displays, speeches, videotapes, artifacts created with paper and pencil, observations, open-ended responses and oral responses all measure ways that some students learn. Performance assessments incorporate content standards by requiring students to use knowledge, skills, and competencies to construct responses to real-world tasks. Appropriate responses are rated according to pre-established scoring guides (rubrics) which are themselves derived from samples of actual student work. In performance assessment, students are doing, telling about, writing about, and visually representing what they know, often in the context of a real-life situation. Students often are involved in the design of the rubric, or should have access to it in advance of the task they are asked to perform.

The combination of many types of assessment and the conscious timing of when to implement the assessment comes closest to a true measurement of what students understand.

Truly effective performance assessments can do all of the following:

- balance content and cognitive processes;
- allow students to demonstrate what they know, as opposed to what they don't know;
- provide opportunities to see real-life connections in their classroom instruction; and
- emphasize how an answer is obtained or what an answer means, in addition to the answer itself.

Performance tasks provide students with a situation to investigate. The teacher facilitates and observes the processes and then examines the results/products to determine, using a structured scoring guide (rubric), what the students actually know and can do. Such an assessment may involve the use of manipulative materials or artifacts, primary and secondary documents, and may involve an instructional component. Students are required to be active rather than passive, and the investigation portion may be accomplished individually, in a group, or through a combination of group and individual work.

Open-ended questions on paper tests provide for multiple solutions and thus allow students to respond in a variety of ways. There may be one answer or many answers and many ways to arrive at an answer. Responses can be verbal, written, graphic or pictorial, or a combination. They can be evaluated on how the solution was reached and on clarity of presentation in addition to the answer. Ultimately, the most authentic way to gauge student learning of principles and processes in the social studies is to observe students in community-based situations where they have to apply social skills and social studies content. A presentation to a local zoning board, for example, is the best measure of how well a student or team of students understands the principle of participatory citizenship, but the proficiency and clarity that is required in that presentation is preceded by the students' having mastered many skills and much content prior to the experience. It is the cumulative progression of teaching and learning according to selected standards and assessments that affords students the ability to make presentations. This is the ultimate assessment that truly validates and demonstrates student learning.

Selected References

Airasian, P.W., (2001). *Classroom assessments concepts of applications*. Boston: McGraw Hill.

Alleman, J.& Brophy, J. (Eds.) (1997). Elementary social studies: instruments, activities, and standards. In G.D. Phye. *Handbook of classroom assessment: learning, adjustment and achievement*. New York: Academic Press. 321-359.

- * Allen, D. (1995) *Tuning protocol: a process for reflection*. Providence, Rhode Island: Coalition of Essential Schools.

American Educational Research Association (1999). *Standards for educational and psychological testing*. Washington, DC. (In association with American Psychological Association and National Council on Measurement in Education).

Arter, J., McTighe, J. (2001). *Scoring rubrics in the classroom*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Authentic assessment in the social studies (1999). *Social Education* (Special Issue), 63, 326-386.

Bednarz, S.W. (2000). The national geography standards: a new basis for performance assessment. In K. Seidel. *Assessing student learning a practical guide*. Cincinnati, OH: Alliance for Curriculum Reform.

Bibliography of assessment alternatives: social studies. (1997). Portland, OR: Assessment Resource Library, Evaluation and Assessment Program. ERIC: ED 420607

- * Blythe, T. (1999). *A Guide to looking collaboratively at student work*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Bragaw, D. Using the achievement cycle in social studies. (1998). In A.A. Glatthorn. *Performance assessment and standards-based curricula: the achievement cycle*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.

Branson, M.S. (2000). Assessing young citizens: civic education. In K. Seidel. *Assessing student learning a practical guide*. Cincinnati, OH: Alliance for Curriculum Reform.

- * Carr, Judy, F., and Harris, Douglas (2001). *Succeeding with standards: Linking curriculum, assessment and action planning*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD).

Civics framework for the 1998 National Assessment of Education Progress. (1997). Washington, DC: National Assessment Governing Board. Eric: ED 407355.

- * Cushman, K. (1996). *Looking collaboratively at student work: an essential tool kit*. Horrace 13 (2) Providence, Rhode Island: Coalition of Essential Schools.

Drake, F. & McBride, L. W. (1997). Reinvigorating the teaching of history through alternative assessment, *The History Teacher*, 30, 145-173.

Educational Testing Service (2000). *ETS standards for quality and fairness*. Princeton, NJ.

Farr, B.P. & Trumbull, E. (1997). *Assessment alternative for diverse classrooms*. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers.

Glathorn, A.A., Bragaw, D., Dawkins, K., Parker, J. (1998). *Performance assessment and standards-based curricula: The Achievement cycle*. Larchmont, NY. Eye on Education.

Goodwin, L.A. (Ed.) (1997). *Assessment for equity and inclusion: embracing all our children*. NY: Routledge.

Gusky, T.R., Bailey, J.M. (2001). *Developing grading and reporting systems for student learning*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Jacobs, Heidi, Hayes (1997). *Mapping the big picture: integrating curriculum and assessment K-12*, Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum (ASCD)

Laughlin, M.A. (2000). Standards and assessment in the social studies. In K. Seidel. *Assessing student learning a practical guide*. Cincinnati, OH: Alliance for Curriculum Reform.

Let's get ready. (2000). *How to prepare for the 8th grade social studies assessment*. Hauppauge, NY: Barron's Educational Series, Inc.

Lewin, Larry and Shoemaker, Betty Jean (1998): *Creating classroom – based assessment tasks*, Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum (ASCD)

Lewis, Anne, C. (fall, 1998) Student work, *National Staff Development Council*, 24-27.

Linn, R.L., Granlund, N.E., (2000). *Measurement and assessment in teaching*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, 8th Edition.

Lockledge, Ann (1997). Portfolio assessment in middle-school and high-school social studies classrooms, *The Social Studies*, March/April, pp. 65-69.

* Lopez, R., et. al. (1998) *Get Rolling*, Washington, DC: The Education Trust.

Marzano, Robert J. (2000) *Transforming classroom grading*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum (ASCD)

Matheson, N., et al. (1996). *Education indicators: an international perspective*. Washington, DC: Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education.

Mathison, S. (1997). Assessment in social studies: moving toward authenticity. In E. W. Ross. (Ed.) *The social studies curriculum: purposes, problems and possibilities*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

McBride, L., Drake, F.D. & Lewinski, M. (1996). *Alternative assessment in the social studies*. Springfield, IL: Illinois State Board of Education, Standards and Assessment Division. (accompanied by a CD-ROM).

*Mitchell, Ruth (1996) *Front-end alignment*. Washington, DC: The Education Trust. Tel.: 202-293-1217.

*Mitchell, Ruth (1995). *Learning in overdrive*. Washington, DC: The Education Trust. Tel.: 202-293-1217.

National Assessment Governing Board (n.d.). *Civics framework for the 1998 national assessment of education progress*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

Newman, F. (Ed.) (1997). Authentic assessment in social studies: standards and examples. In G. D. Phye. *Handbook of classroom assessment: learning adjustment and achievement*. NY: Academic Press.

Nickell, P. & Wilson, A. (1995). *America's past and promise: creative teaching strategies in social studies. Vol. 1: alternative assessment*. Evanston, IL: McDougal Littell.

O'Brien, J. (1997). Statewide social studies performance assessment: threat or treat? *The Social studies*, 88, 53-59.

Porter, C. & Cleland, J. (1995). *The portfolio as a learning strategy*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook Publishers.

Preparing citizens: linking authentic assessment and instruction in civic/law education. (1997). Boulder, CO: Social Sciences Education Consortium.

Reeves: D.B. (1997). *Making standards work: how to implement standards-based assessments in the classroom school, and District*. Denver, CO: Center for Performance Assessment.

Roberts, F.J. & Sims, R.L. (2000). History assessment: a record of student learning. In K. Seidel *Assessing student learning a practical guide*. Cincinnati, OH: Alliance for Curriculum Reform, 2000.

SALT (School Accountability Learning and Teaching) (1999). *School guide: self-study activity guide: Considering equity gaps*, Rhode Island Department of Education, Tel.: 401-222-2650

SALT (2000) *School guide: Self-study activity guide: Examining student work* Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, Tel.: 401-222-2650.

SALT (2000) *School guide: standards-based teaching and learning*, Rhode Island Department of Education, Tel.: 401-222-2650

Salvia, J., Ysseldyke, J.E. (2001). *Assessment*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 8th edition.

- * Seidel, S. Walters, J., Kirby, E., Olff, N., Powell, K. (1997) *Portfolio practices: thinking through the assessments of children's work*, Washington, DC: National Education Association (NEA) Restructuring Series.

Social Sciences Education Consortium (1997). *Preparing citizens: linking authentic assessment and instruction in civic/law education*. Boulder, CO: Author.

Stiggins, R. (1997). *Student-centered classroom assessment*. 2nd ed. Columbus, OH: Merrill.

Stiggins, R. (2000). *Student-involved classroom assessment*. Prentice Hall College division, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 3rd ed.

Wiggins, G., and McTighe, J. (1998) *Understanding by design*. Alexandria, VA.: Association for Supervision and Curriculum (ASCD)

Wiggins, G. (1998) *Educative assessment: designing assessments to inform and improve performance*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

*These references focus on assessment through examining student work.
Ordering information for CSSAP materials:

THE COMPREHENSIVE SOCIAL STUDIES ASSESSMENT PROJECT 1997-2001

The Comprehensive Social Studies Development Project is a four year, 4 million dollar, project involving 25 states with the purpose of producing a variety of high level thinking assessments for elementary and secondary social studies in Civics, Economics, Geography, and History.



The following list of products are available to educators in all states.

☐ THE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT MANUAL (PRINT VERSION) 237 PP.
\$75.00

Contents:

- Social Studies Content Standards and the CSSAP Framework. A Primer on Assessment
- Aligning Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Based on Content Standards` The CSSAP Assessment Model: An Overview and Examples` Designing Social Studies Assessment Materials
- Portfolio Assessment` Strategies for Professional Development in Social Studies Assessment

- Social Studies Assessment References and Resources' Appendix (National Social Studies Standards)

☐ THE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT MANUAL (CD ROM) \$60.00

☐ THE PORTFOLIO IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE (PRINT VERSION) 1, 038 PP.
\$95.00

Contents:

- The Social Studies Portfolio: An Introduction
- Elementary Student Guide' Middle School Student Guide' High School Student Guide
- Elementary Scoring Guide' Middle School Scoring Guide' High School Scoring Guide
- Workshop Activities:
 - Power Point Overview of the Social Studies Portfolio Process, Essential Considerations: Designing Effective Portfolio Assessment Tasks; Implementation Planning: Essential Questions for Consideration; Aligning Ideas with Portfolio Entry Categories
- The Promise of Performance Tasks in Designing Effective Portfolio Assessment Tasks
 - Ideas for Elementary Portfolio Assessment Tasks; Ideas for Middle School Portfolio Assessment Tasks;
 - Ideas for High School Portfolio Assessment Tasks

☐ THE PORTFOLIO IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE (CD ROM) \$60.00

☐ THE CSSAP FRAMEWORK AND THE SOCIAL STUDIES CONTENT STANDARDS
\$7.00

Please send checks or purchase orders to:
Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSP)
Publications Department/ Kelleen Walker
One Massachusetts Avenue NW – Suite 700
Washington, DC 20001

CHAPTER 4

EVALUATING THE SOCIAL STUDIES PROGRAM

The social studies program includes teaching staff and their ongoing professional development, school facilities and materials for social studies education (e.g., maps, three-dimensional models), the social studies curriculum, activities and events both within and outside of the classroom, and assessment tools which are in use to judge the value and impact of social studies teaching and learning. The state's informal survey of district curriculum directors indicates that most districts do not have a formal means of social studies program evaluation in place. This section provides for all RI districts and schools an orientation to the purposes and possible format of social studies evaluation.

This guide, along with its associated national standards documents in the social studies, provides a common frame of reference for the design of local social studies curricula and a basis for ongoing evaluation of its design, implementation, and continued refinement. Evaluation of the school social studies program and implementation of various national content standards in the social studies can proceed at three distinct but interrelated levels:

1. State-level assessments: While Rhode Island has no plans to assess social studies learning on an annual basis, Rhode Island does participate in various assessment programs of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), including those devoted to civics, history, and geography. While individual student achievement on these national tests is not released, there is comparative data on the performance of Rhode Island participants (which is virtually the whole state) and their counterparts in other states and nations. (NAEP World Wide Web address: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard>)
2. System assessments: Each school district should develop a comprehensive approach to evaluating its social studies program with provision for input opportunities from a wide spectrum of the local community. The evaluation plan can build upon standard techniques and approaches developed for comprehensive district strategic planning efforts as part of the SALT process. The local district team, which develops district evaluation instruments, should have significant representation from those closest to the actual delivery of the curriculum -- the teachers and instructional support staff. Local business leaders, especially those conversant with the development of quality indicators, can bring needed expertise to the development of appropriate and effective indicators of quality for the school social studies program.
3. Site assessments: Every school building and each classroom teacher of the social studies should engage in an annual evaluation of the social studies

program within their building/classroom. This would include attention to student perceptions of the social studies program, parent and administrator perceptions, analysis of student assessment information in light of possible program changes (including grade distributions disaggregated by race, gender, and special needs). Attention must be paid to the scope and sequence of the social studies curriculum within the building to ensure that it is developmentally appropriate, conceptually linked, and focused on social studies understandings that are crucial to general civic participation in a democracy. It is also critical to involve guidance counselors, school psychologists, and specialists in special needs populations, LEP, and equity to ascertain to what degree the school social studies curriculum is addressing the needs of all students. Some useful sources upon which to build local site assessment efforts include the SALT surveys developed by the National Center on Public Education and Social Policy at the University of Rhode Island, and the Elementary Social Studies Program Evaluation Test in use across New York State and available from the New York State Education Department Publications Sales Desk (518-474-3806).

To facilitate an evaluative judgment of the success of the social studies curriculum within each school system, data must be collected in a variety of forms, and whenever possible, at all three levels indicated above. These data can also be thought of as falling into three distinct categories of data:

Type 1: Quantitative data: Factual data such as enrollment figures in social studies courses, written policies, records of classroom visits and test results.

Type 2: Qualitative data: Data to which a professional or personal judgment could be applied such as student portfolios of exemplary work, displays of children's work, resource materials developed by teachers for the curriculum and observations of classroom learning situations.

Type 3: Inferential data: Data based on impressions of professionals regarding such items as student involvement in social studies projects/issues in the school or within the wider community and participation in social studies competitions and teacher participation in workshops, social studies conventions and professional organizations.

The following table illustrates a suggested basis for evaluating the school social studies curriculum with reference to national standards that have been endorsed by the local school district. Ongoing evaluation, comprised of samples like these, should occur through all phases of development, implementation, and refinement.

PHASE	STANDARD	QUANTIFIABLE INSTRUMENT	QUALITATIVE INSTRUMENT	INFERRED INSTRUMENT
Implement- ation	All students (K-12) are provided opportunities to attain relevant content standards in the social studies.	Records of student enrollment and adequate certified teaching staff	Social Studies programs are engaging all students	Students understanding of key social studies concepts is increasing
Implement- ation	Students are engaged in opportunities to attain social studies content standards	Progress reports at appropriate grade levels of student achievement on evaluative instruments	Social studies instruction provides opportunities for student achievement as evidenced by student portfolios	Student's know more about the social studies and connect it to everyday life and events
Ongoing	Staff development opportunities are available and funding sources are being actively sought	Records of workshops, course reimbursements or incentives are available to teaching staff	Teachers and staff are involved in workshops as active participants	Enthusiasm of professional staff as indicated by organization memberships, teaching awards, grant proposals, etc.
Ongoing	Curriculum revision opportunities are scheduled and supported	Records of periodic meeting of curriculum teams and records of document revision	Teacher feedback of progress and needs	Instructional staff aware of ongoing curriculum efforts and its relation to current curriculum

Good social studies programs are characterized by many common features. These features include:

1. Students are presented with issues and problems in the social studies arena that prompt student engagement with a wide range of historical, geographic, economic, political, and cultural data and principles.
2. Learning is active, with ample opportunities to both generate questions of personal value to the student and seek answers to these questions.
3. Students learn to think for themselves, recognize false claims, and develop sound habits of ferreting out information from a variety of sources (primary and secondary) and via a variety of media (e.g., personal interviews, the Internet, print materials, film).
4. Students learn by doing, with guided opportunities to participate in local civic life, active exploration of their local environs, and developing a keen sense of time, place, culture, and social customs and institutions.
5. Students study some aspect of the social studies every day throughout their K-12 schooling.
6. Teachers expect all students to succeed, set high goals for themselves and their students, and employ a variety of strategies that recognize the diversity of student needs and learning styles.
7. Teachers have adequate and on-going opportunities to improve their own skills in social studies teaching including attendance at local and regional meetings of professional associations in the social studies.

Selected References

Chelimsky , E. & Shadish, W.R. (Eds.) (1997). *Evaluation for the 21st century: A handbook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Eisner,E. (1994). *The educational imagination: on the design and evaluation of school programs*. 3rd. Ed. NY: Macmillan College Publishing.

Fetterman, D.M., Kaftarian, S.J. & Wandersman, A. (Eds.) (1996). *Empowerment, evaluation, knowledge and tools for self-assessment & accountability*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.

Fink, A. (1995). *Evaluation for education & psychology*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Fitzpatrick, K.A. & Edwards, B. (1998). *Program evaluation: social studies*. 2nd Ed. Schaumburg, IL: National Study of School Evaluation.

Joint Committee for Educational Evaluation. (1994). *The program evaluation standards*. 2nd Ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Mark, M.M., Henry, G.T., Julnes, G. (2000). *Evaluation. An intergrated framework for understanding, grading and improving public and non-public policies and programs*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Patton, M.Q. (1997). *Utilization-focused evaluation: the new century text*. 3rd Ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.

Payne, D. (1994). *Designing educational project and program evaluation*. Boston, Ma: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Rossi, P. & Freeman, H. (1993). *Evaluation- a systemic approach*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Wholey, J., Hatry, H. & Newcomer, K. (Eds.) (1994). *Handbook of practical program evaluation*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Pubishers.

CHAPTER 5

TEACHING SOCIAL STUDIES IN AN INCLUSIVE WAY

What direction does Rhode Island plan to pursue toward creating a more positive learning environment for its children in social studies classrooms? How does one begin to deal with the socioeconomic, racial/ethnic, linguistic, gender, 'gifted,' and disability differences present in Rhode Island classrooms? We must plan appropriate strategies to make social studies relevant, exciting, and challenging for all children.

Setting and maintaining high expectations for all students is a critical aspect of inclusive education. All students are expected to continuously progress through the goals in the state's Common Core of Learning Goals and in specific subject matter areas, such as the social studies. To ensure maximum growth and performance, students with diverse learning needs may require a variety of accommodations in the curriculum, instructional practices, and in the pace and style of learning. School staff, family members, and the student should work together to plan the student's goals in relation to local curriculum and the accommodations needed to support success. If the student has a Section 504 plan or Individualized Education Plan (IEP), that requires the use of accommodations and/or modifications, these should be incorporated into social studies and other curriculum and skill areas when appropriate. Supports, services and curricular and instructional accommodations will also be included. Observations of performance on at least a quarterly basis will demonstrate the student's learning progress and suggest areas of adjustment in the student's plan.

It is recommended that inclusive social studies education become a reality and concern for all teachers. Inclusive education incorporates the idea that all students - regardless of their gender and social class, their ethnic or cultural characteristics, and their disabilities or learning differences - should have an equal opportunity to learn. The basic premises of inclusive social studies education incorporate the following:

1. All students can learn relevant subject matter in the social studies;
2. Every student can make a positive contribution to the social studies classroom; and
3. Diversity is appreciated in social studies classrooms because it enhances rather than detracts from the richness and effectiveness of social studies learning.

How can we use social studies education effectively to engage more students in civic life as children, adolescents, and adults in our society? First, all students must be actively engaged in classroom conversations and be exposed to practitioners in the social studies of diverse gender, socio-cultural groups, and disabled populations. Second, there need to be opportunities for students to explore various roles, careers, social organizations, and civic functions within the wider community.

In Tomorrow's Teachers: A Report of the Holmes Group, several educational goals were identified to help social studies teachers strive toward meeting the needs of an increasingly diverse population:

1. Acquire knowledge and skills that social scientists and practitioners have applied to the study children's learning.
2. Present appropriate lessons for particular students and use indirect, but powerful, teaching strategies such as role playing and collaboration to increase teachers' instructional effectiveness with diverse groups of at-risk students;
3. Eliminate school and teacher stereotypes and expectations that can narrow student opportunities for learning and displaying competence; and
4. Create and sustain a communal setting respectful of individual differences and group membership, where learning is valued, engagement is nurtured, and interest is encouraged.

Professional development should be provided for all teachers in order to improve the academic achievement of students who have historically been underachievers in social studies classrooms.

Selected References

Banks, J.A. & Banks, C.A.M. (1993). *Multicultural Education: Issues and Perspectives*. 2nd Ed. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Barry, A.L. (1994-1995). Easing into inclusion classrooms. *Educational Leadership*, 52, 4-6.

Cartledge, G., Milburn, J.F. (1996). *Cultural diversity and social skills instruction: understanding ethnic and gender differences*. Champaign, IL: Research Press.

Clegg, L.B. and others (1997). *Celebrating diversity: a multicultural resource*. 1st Ed. Albany, NY: Delmar.

Colleary, K.P. (1999). How teachers understand gay and lesbian content in the elementary social studies curriculum. In W.J. Lettes, J.T. Sears & J. Thomas

(Eds.) *Queering elementary education: advancing the dialogue about sexualities and schooling*. Lanham:MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Deitch, JoAnne Weisman. (1998). *An educator's guide to the PERSPECTIVES/ON HISTORY SERIES- PART III: Using primary source documents*. Carlisle: Massachusetts: Discovery Enterprises, Ltd.

Donaldson, K.B.M. (1996). *Through students' eyes. Combating racism in United States Schools*. Westport, CT: Praeger Publishers.

Falvey, M.A. (Ed.) (1995). *Inclusive and heterogeneous schooling: Assessment, curriculum, and instruction*. Baltimore, MD: Paul Brookes Publishing Company.

Freagon, S. and others. (1995). Inclusion of young learners with disabilities in social studies. *Social Studies and the Young Learner*, 7, 15-18.

Gelfand, D.M. (1995). Minority Inclusion Programs. *Update on Law-Related Education*, 19, 25-27.

Goodman, A.L. (Ed.) (1997). *Assessment for equity and inclusion: Embracing all our children*. New York: Routledge.

Gregory, S.T. (2000). *The Academic achievement of minority students: perspectives, practices and prescriptions*, Lanham, M.D., University Press of America.

Hollis, E.R., King, J.E. & Hayman, W.C. (1994). *Teaching diverse populations: Formulating a knowledge base*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press

Igoa, C. (1995). *The inner world of the immigrant child*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Jantz, R.K. & Seefeldt, C. (1999). Early childhood social studies. In C. Seefeldt (Ed.). *The early childhood curriculum: current findings in theory and practice*. 3rd ed. New York: Teachers College Press.

Jorgensen, C.M. and others. (1997). *Curriculum and its impact on inclusion and the achievement of students with disabilities*. Pittsburgh, PA: Allegheny-Singer Research Institute. ERIC: ED 409684.

LEARN 2000 (2000). *Voices of freedom: AMISTAD curriculum*. Hartford, CT.: Connecticut Historical Society and AMISTAD America.

Lyon, G. R., D. Gray, J. Kavanagh, and N. Krasnegor (1993). *Better understanding learning disabilities*. Baltimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes Publishing Company.

McKay, S., Wang, S.C., Eds. (2000). *New immigrants in the United States*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Ross, E. W. Ed. (1997). *The Social studies curriculum. purposes, problems, and possibilities*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Shaheen, Jack G. (1984) *The TV Arab*.
<http://www.PaperClip.com/diversityreport.pdf>
Popular Press

Silverstone, Michael Alan (1999). *Rigoberta menchu: defending human rights in Guatemala*. New York, NY: The Feminist Press at The City University of New York.

Silverstone, Michael Alan (1999). *Women changing the world: using biography to support global education in the English and social studies classroom*. New York, NY: The Feminist Press at The City University of New York.

Sleeter, C. E., and P. L. McLaren, Eds. (1995). *Multicultural education, critical pedagogy and the politics of difference*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Stainback, S., and W. Stainback (1996). *Inclusion: a guide for educators*. Baltimore, MD: Paul Brookes Publishing Company.

Streitmatter, J. (1994). *Toward gender equity in the classroom: everyday teachers' beliefs and practices*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Villa, R. A., and J. S. Thousand, Eds. (1995). *Creating an inclusive school*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Whaley, L. and L. Dodge (1993). *Weaving in the women: Transforming the high school English curriculum*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/cook Publishers, Inc.

CHAPTER 6

SAMPLE CRITERIA TO EVALUATE SOCIAL STUDIES MATERIALS FROM THE AMERICAN HISTORICAL ASSOCIATION

The following guide is reproduced in full from documents released by the American Historical Association and the National Council for Geographic Education. We believe Rhode Island districts and schools will find this guide invaluable when evaluating social studies textbooks and other learning materials.

Criteria for Standards in History/Social Studies/Social Sciences

A large number of states are in the process of defining or redefining standards for student learning in History and related fields. The American Historical Association has reviewed a number of efforts, contributing in several cases to some useful revisions and also confirming the quality of several completed standards statements. As the effort continues, it is clear that a statement of basic criteria for adequacy will be helpful.

The Association recognized the validity of various specific approaches. It also commends the example of several existing standards, to states that are still in the development process. State standards in this curriculum area must of course include appropriate goals for social studies and/or several particular social sciences, in addition to history. Indeed, one mark of satisfactory state standards is the capacity to relate other social science and civics goals to the core standards for History.

In addition, Standards relating to History should:

1. Strongly emphasize a number of analytical skills, beyond standard critical thinking skills. Such skills can be variously stated and must be adapted to different grade levels, but they generally include capacities relating to the interpretation of change and continuity, ability to utilize and assess historical documents, and ability to evaluate different historical interpretations. In world history, comparative skills forms a desirable analytical category.
2. Provide clear emphasis on chronology and periodization. Because historical developments occur in time, the ability to deal with sequences is crucial to historical coherence and to the capacity to assess relationships between past and present. Students should gain from their historical work experience in seeing how various historical developments relate to former historical periods and how changes distinguish one period from the next.

3. Delineate a balance among various major facets of the human experience in the past. Adequate standards may vary greatly in how they indicate appropriate factual coverage, and in what detail. They should nevertheless indicate the importance of dealing with social, cultural, economic, technological and political components and with their mutual relationships, and they should define these with clarity to include, for example, religion and science (cultural) and groupings such as race, class and gender (social). Balance of this sort is vital to linkage between History and learning goals in fields like geography, economics or literature.
4. Provide systematic global perspectives in history, so that several major societies (including but not confined to Europe and the United States) and major international trends will be treated over several major time periods. Developing an approach to world history standards that meets this criterion, often by sketching progressive levels of achievement in several different grades, is a challenge that can and must be met.
5. Build a curriculum sequence in History from the early grades through the high school years. A sequenced series of courses is vital to train students in the progressive analytical skills capacities and to provide the necessary experience in chronology/periodization, global perspectives and topical range.
6. Include input from practicing historians and history teachers, who can help attune standards to current research findings and best teaching practices in the field.

**Guidelines for the Preparation, Evaluation and
Selection of History Textbooks
Approved by the American Historical Association**

The centrality of the textbooks in history teaching has long been established. Texts address different specific topics and educational levels and they also appropriately reflect authors' particular tastes. At the same time, good texts must share certain general qualities, which broadly speaking apply to materials from grade school to college. It is vital to utilize these general criteria, along with other selection preferences, in evaluating the range of texts available at any level.

Textbooks play a vital role in history education, from elementary school through the college survey courses. As in other fields, good textbooks offer a distillation of available knowledge on major subjects in the discipline, with arrangements specifically designed for student use and with writing and exercises geared to the appropriate student level. For any given level, and for any survey subject, good textbooks can and should vary considerably.

Indeed, it is important that teachers have some choice in the particular approaches presented and that they be involved in the process of textbook selection. Teachers bring relevant knowledge and experience to textbook decisions, and should have some chance to pick among several options according to personal interest and commitment.

Accompanying the need for variety in textbook approaches, certain criteria define a satisfactory history text at any level. The most important guideline, from which more specific criteria flow, is the need to make sure that the text contributes to good history instruction.

Factual Coverage. Most textbooks primarily convey factual materials. No matter what the subject, or how large the book, these materials are necessarily selective, involving choices about what relevant historical data to include and exclude. A satisfactory history text establishes what the key selection principles have been, so that users can assess the validity of the choices and also have some awareness of potential gaps. For example, a world history text may downplay certain early periods or geographical regions and still measure up to coverage needs; but the choices should be briefly indicated and explained. In U.S. history, some sequences of presidents are often summed up without great detail; again, this kind of selectivity should be briefly noted and explained. In addition to explanations, adequate textbooks do not select coverage without attention to problems of bias and distortion not only in the accuracy of the materials presented, but in the choice of major topics.

Factual coverage in an adequate history textbook must reflect explicit attention to chronology. That is, it must help establish differences as well as similarities

between past and present and it must deal with events and patterns in a sequence of time. For more advanced student levels, chronology must also be presented through discussion of periodization -- that is, through discussion of key points of change -- so that users can understand the choices involved in deciding on major breaks in chronological sequences.

Factual coverage must be up to date in terms of ongoing historical research. Significant improvements in the teaching and learning of history result from the systematic utilization of research-based knowledge. Regular adjustments in light of new research are essential for textbook accuracy and for achievement of necessary balance in group and topical coverage. At least brief indications of possible further reading, appropriate to the user level but reflective of recent historical research, should be included in any adequate history text.

Factual coverage should be balanced, in several senses. It should deal with several groupings (class, race, gender) in order to convey both shared and diverse reactions to key developments. The group experiences should be integrated in the larger analytical framework and narrative structure, not treated as isolated sidebars. It should also deal with several aspects of the human experience (political, social, cultural, etc.) and with interrelationships among these facets.

Appropriate global perspectives are increasingly important in defining textbook adequacy. Obviously, a world history text will have much different geographical coverage from a United States or an individual state survey. In all cases, however, an adequate text will place developments in some wider perspective, so that international trends and forces are given appropriate attention and so that principal distinctive features, for example in a particular national experience, gain some comparative treatment.

Factual coverage, finally, must not be defined by sheer avoidance of controversy. Indeed, an adequate history textbook must treat some topics about which debate continues to occur and must assist readers in balancing an understanding of diverse viewpoints with attention to the historical factors involved. Religion, for example, is a vital aspect of the history of virtually every society and time period. Its treatment must often acknowledge diversity of viewpoints, but the subject must be given appropriate weight for its role in the human experience.

Historical Habits of Mind. Even with a primary emphasis on factual materials, adequate history textbooks must actively encourage the development of appropriate historical habits of mind beyond memorization. Adequate history texts do not so overwhelm with the sheer volume of material as to discourage a variety of exercises and learning experiences. Presentation of data itself must promote an ability to see how historical facts can be used and recombined in coherent written or oral argument.

Textbooks should encourage critical thinking, with sections that help students understand how different kinds of arguments and interpretations can be assessed.

Textbooks should directly include or be readily compatible with primary documents and other materials, so that students gain skill in assessing different kinds of data, judging potential bias, and building an argument from various pieces of evidence. Sections that periodically discuss how historians developed data of the sort embedded in the text itself, and how different evaluations of data figure into historical controversies, will usefully further the ability to understand uses of evidence.

As appropriate to the grade level involved, textbooks should promote the capacity to assess change over time, the causes and impacts of change, and continuities that coexist with change. Textbooks that merely accumulate data, even across time, with no discussion of issues of change and causation are not adequate, even at beginner student levels. In many texts and for many grade levels, comparisons between time periods and/or between societies will also encourage analytical capacity.

In fostering appropriate habits of mind, from ability to develop arguments from data to the assessment of historical change, adequate history textbooks should insofar as possible promote active learning, by raising issues and varying the types of information provided. Reliance on passive reception and memorization alone should be discouraged. Textbooks at many levels should provide guidance through exercises (essays, research projects and other experiences) that promote historical habits of mind and the use of historical facts to answer larger questions. No adequate textbook will encourage fact-based testing alone.

Other Criteria. Adequate history textbooks should include review procedures that involve active teachers and research historians. Critical evaluations of textbooks, in professional journals, should be utilized in textbook adoption procedures. Once adopted, textbooks should be evaluated for their effectiveness in promoting good history learning. Adequate history textbooks should also take advantage of research findings about history learning itself, including information about key student learning patterns and sequential development in history learning capacities.

While it is sometimes appropriate to develop history texts for specialized audiences, many history texts must also be evaluated in terms of their adaptability in various existing school settings, with different resource levels and capacities for supplementary materials. This is one reason that texts themselves must often include different kinds of materials and exercises.

Effective history learning has a variety of purposes. Its most essential goal, which any textbook must promote to satisfy criteria of adequacy, is to prepare

users to encounter new data and new kinds of historical developments (including developments in contemporary history) with enhanced capacities for understanding and analysis.

National Council for Geographic Education

Geography Textbook Evaluation Form

THE TEXTBOOK BEING EVALUATED

Title: _____

Author(s): _____

Publisher and Address: _____

Copyright Date: _____

Grade Level (Course) of Use: _____

Student Edition Cost: _____

Teacher Edition _____ In-Bound _____ Separate Cost: _____

Special Features:

	Cost		Cost
_____ Student Workbook	_____	_____ Tests	_____
_____ Ditto Masters	_____	_____ Transparencies	_____
_____ Outline Maps	_____	_____ Videos	_____
_____ Software	_____	_____ Other: _____	_____

EVALUATOR DATA

Name: _____ Date of Evaluation: _____

Occupation: (If other than teacher) _____

School: _____

Grade Level/Courses Taught: _____

RECOMMENDATION

Summarize strengths and weaknesses of the materials based on criteria in parts A through E and recommend whether to adopt these materials.

PROCEDURE

The evaluation covers five categories-Content, Instruction and Physical Properties, Ancillary Features and Readability. Evaluators are to indicate to what degree they agree with the statement on a scale of 1 to 4 – 4 indicating agreement, 1 indicating disagreement. If desired, an evaluating committee may weigh the relative importance of each statement for local purposes on a scale of 0.5 to 1.5. Multiplying the weight factor by the degree of agreement produces the score. For example, if a statement was given a weight factor of 0, and it's score likewise would be 0.

Additional copies of this document may be purchased for \$2 each from the NCGE Central Office. 16A Leonard Hall, Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana, PA 15701

Copyright 1992 by the National Council for Geographic Education. This form was revised in 1992 by the NCGE Committee on Text evaluation

A. CONTENT PROPERTIES

Weight factor
(optional)

Agree.....Disagree Score

_____	1. The organization of the text is:	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	a. regional (i.e. -The New South).					
_____	b. topical (i.e. -Transportation in the 1990's)					
_____	c. thematic (i.e. - The Dry World's Desert Peoples).					
_____	d. issues (i.e. – The Debate Over Global Warming).					
	2. The physical/cultural mix in this text is approximately (Check one):	4	3	2	1	_____
	_____ 90/10; _____ 80/20; _____ 70/30;					
	_____ 60/40; _____ 50/50; _____ 40/60					
	_____ 30/70; _____ 20/80; _____ 10/90.					
_____	3. The author(s) approach and philosophy are explicitly stated in the introduction.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	4. The content is compatible with the philosophy of the district.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	5. The scope and sequence of the content is compatible with the curriculum of the district.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	6. The content represents current practice within the disciplines of geography and other social sciences.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	7. The five geographic themes – Locations, Place, Human-Environment Interactions, Movement and Region – are:	4	3	2	1	_____
	a. defined and introduced specifically and applied throughout the materials.					
	b. defined and introduced specifically but <u>NOT</u> applied throughout the materials.					
	c. covered in conceptions and applications but without using the specific geographic theme titles.	4	3	2	1	_____
	d. covered in the teacher's guide only.	4	3	2	1	_____
	e. not used to any extent..					
_____	8. Both the text and visuals encourage students to view the earth as a whole.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	9. The linked geographic processes that form the world ecosystem are explained.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	10. Worldwide patterns of the distribution of physical and cultural features are described in the text and shown in an appropriate map form.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	11. The content is timely and relevant to world affairs.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	12. The content is relevant to student's interests and concerns.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	13. The text is written in a lively and interesting style.	4	3	2	1	_____
	14. In narration and illustration, the materials avoid ideas could lead to stereotypes of groups concerning:					
_____	a. "simple" or "primitive" (terms to be avoided).	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	b. gender.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	c. racial and/or ethnic groups.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	15. The materials help develop understanding of the customs and beliefs of a wide variety of societal groups.	4	3	2	1	_____
	16. The following support the content and are closely correlated with the text:					
_____	a. Maps.	4	3	2	1	_____

Weight Factor
(optional)

_____	b. Remote sensing imagery (satellite and aerial photos).	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	c. Graphs.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	d. Illustrations.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	17. The following is/are present and usable:					
_____	a. Table of contents	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	b. Atlas.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	c. Index.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	d. Glossary.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	e. Data bank.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	f. Computer programs.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	18. The text incorporates materials on careers in geography.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	19. The text incorporates primary source materials, i.e. historical maps, raw data sets, quotations from diaries, books, journals, newspapers, etc.	4	3	2	1	_____

Part A Total Score _____

B. INSTRUCTIONAL PROPERTIES

THE TEACHER'S GUIDE

Weight Factor
(optional)

AgreeDisagree Score

_____	1. Instructional objectives and teaching strategies are clearly stated.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	2. Suggestions are provided for diagnostic (entry), formative (progress) and summative (exit) evaluation of the curriculum.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	3. Suggestions are provided for diagnostic (entry), formative (progress) and summative (exit) evaluation of student achievement.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	4. Not only what to teach is presented, but how to teach it is explained in structured suggestions that cover a variety of teaching strategies.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	5. Instructional approaches include student-centered activities such as individualized instruction, creative thinking, cooperative learning, etc.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	6. Background information or data is provided to help a teacher expand on the content of the textbook.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	7. The materials provide a useful bibliography (with addresses) of reference works, trade books, audio-visual aids and other supplementary materiel including "free and inexpensive."	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	8. The materials are organized in segments that conform to daily and weekly time frames.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	9. The organization of the materials would permit teachers to develop content or time frames that differ from the sequenced layout of the text.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	10. The text and materials could be used successfully by teachers whose training in geography may be limited.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	11. The guide is detailed and useful.	4	3	2	1	_____

STUDENT MATERIALS

Weight Factor

(optional)

_____	1. The readability is appropriate for the intended users. (See Section E)	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	2. Learning targets for the students are clearly defined.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	3. The map program is clearly defined and sequentially developed.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	4. The materials provide instruction and guided practice in analyzing and interpreting:					
_____	a. maps.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	b. satellite and aerial photos.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	c. graphs.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	d. photographs.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	5. The material develop reading and comprehensive skills.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	6. Student writing activities are used to advance the learning of subject matter.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	7. The instruction suggestions would stimulate and motivate students.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	8. The instructional approach suggested include student-centered activities such as cooperative learning.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	9. The materials calls for high level of student involvement including field work, rather than mere passive learning.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	10. Student materials provide instruction and guided practice in:					
_____	a. observing.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	b. analyzing.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	c. identifying patterns.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	d. asking questions.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	e. solving problems.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	f. making decisions.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	11. Activities and materials encourage exercise of creative thinking skills such as fluency, flexibility, elaboration and originality.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	12. Questions within the text narrative:					
_____	a. vary in cognitive levels.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	b. use skills cues effectively.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	c. integrate skills with content.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	d. give students practice in applying the skills previously introduced.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	13. End-of-chapter exercises give students opportunities to and provide guidance in practicing skills introduced in the chapter.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	14. The materials provide for a variety of learning experiences suitable for use with:					
_____	a. high ability level.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	b. average ability level.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	c. low ability level.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	d. all ability levels.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	e. learning disabled mainstreamed students.	4	3	2	1	_____
_____	f. ESL mainstreamed students.	4	3	2	1	_____

Part B Total Score _____

C. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Agree.....Disagree Score

- | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| _____ | 1. The general appearance of the materials is attractive and would appeal to the intended users. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 2. The balance between print and graphic materials is appropriate for the intended users. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 3. The following is/are appropriate for the intended users: | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | a. Print size. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | b. Paper quality. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | c. Line spacing and margins. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | d. Format | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 4. The materials are durable enough to be used for a period of five to six years with minimal replacements. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 5. Maps, graphs and charts are clear and easy to read. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 6. Photographs, including satellite pictures, are sharp and clear. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 7. Maps, graphs, charts and data set information is accurate. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 8. Map projections are appropriate for the given map task. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |

D. ANCILLARY FEATURES

Agree.....Disagree Score

- | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|---|---|---|---|-------|
| _____ | 1. Workbook, activity book and blackline masters are closely integrated with the textbook by applying and elaborating on concepts and skills introduced in the text. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 2. The tests assess knowledge of geographic concepts, facts and the ability to apply the skills introduced in the book. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 3. The test program provides assistance to teachers in making a diagnostic analysis of test results for the purpose of designing remediation and enrichment. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |
| _____ | 4. Computer software content is closely integrated with the textbook by applying and elaborating on concepts and skills introduced in the text. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | _____ |

Part D Total Score_____

Parts A, B, C and D Total Score_____

E. READABILITY

First 1/3 of book Last 1/3 of book

Publisher's Report: Formula_____ Grade Level: _____

District/State Report: Formula_____ Grade Level: _____

Selected References

Barksdale, K. & Steffee, J. (1998). *Social studies with computers*. Cincinnati: Computer Literacy Press.

Farris, P. J. (1995). Exploring Multicultural Themes through Picture Books. *Middle School Journal*, 26, 35-40.

Hooper, D. (1999). *Integrating technology into the social studies curriculum: challenging*. Westminster, CA: Teacher Created Materials.

International Society for Technology in Education. (2000). *National educational technology standards for students: connecting curriculum and technology*. Eugene, OR: The Society.

Leu, Jr., D.J., Leu, D.D. & Leu, K.R. (1999). *Teaching with the Internet: Lessons from the Classroom*. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon.

Partridge, S. (1995). *The inclusion of E-mail in our teaching: A discussion*. Washington, DC: ERIC. ED: 383012.

Totten, S. (2001). *Teaching holocaust literature*. Boston: Allyn Bacon.